**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Published by JK Welfare & Pharmascope Foundation

Journal Home Page: <u>www.ijrps.com</u>

# Analytical Method Development and Validation for Determination of Selpercatinib by Using RP-HPLC

Navya Singamsetty, Raja Sundararajan<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, GITAM Institute of Pharmacy, GITAM (Deemed to be University), Visakhapatnam – 530 045, Andhra Pradesh, India

| Article History:   | ABSTRACT Check of Che |
|--|--|
| Received on: 18 Jan 2021<br>Revised on: 20 Feb 2021<br>Accepted on: 24 Feb 2021<br><i>Keywords:</i><br>Selpercatinib,<br>Method Development,<br>Validation,<br>ICH guidelines,<br>RP-HPLC,<br>RTKs | A novel, specific and precise RP-HPLC method has been developed and val-<br>idated for the quantification of selpercatinib in pure and its pharmaceutical<br>dosage form. Selpercatinib is a kinase interrupter with increased selectiv-<br>ity for rearranged during transfection (RET) tyrosine kinase receptors (RTKs)<br>above the additional RTK classes. It is used for the treatment of RET fusion-<br>positive non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). The segregation was accom-<br>plished on the Zorbax C18 column (150 x 4.6 mm) with a 5 $\mu$ particle size.<br>0.1% orthophosphoric acid and Acetonitrile (ACN) (60:40 v/v) was used as an<br>optimized mobile phase at a flow rate of 1ml/min. The wavelength selected<br>was 220.0nm. The retention time for selpercatinib was 2.653 min. The lin-<br>earity of selpercatinib was detected to be 5- 30 $\mu$ g/ml. Linearity equations<br>obtained for selpercatinib was $y = 18428x + 2196.2$ with correlation coeffi-<br>cient 0.999. The % RSD for precision was found to be less than 2%. %Recovery<br>was obtained as 99.74% for selpercatinib. The LOD and LOQ for selpercatinib<br>were obtained as 0.02 $\mu$ g/ml and 0.05 $\mu$ g/ml.  |
|  |  |

\*Corresponding Author

Name: Raja Sundararajan Phone: +91-9160508261 Email: sraja61@gmail.com

#### ISSN: 0975-7538

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v12i1.4471

Production and Hosted by

IJRPS | www.ijrps.com

@ 2021 | All rights reserved.

#### INTRODUCTION

Selpercatinib  $(C_{29}H_{31}N_7O_3)$  is chemically 6-(2hydroxy-2-methyl propoxy)-4-[6-[6-[(6-methoxy pyridin-3-yl]methyl]-3,6-diazabicyclo [3.1.1] heptan-3-yl]pyridin-3-yl] pyrazolo [1,5-a] pyridine-3-carbonitrile. The molecular weight of selpercatinib is 525.6 g/mol. It is a kinase inhibitor with improved selectivity for rearranged during transfection (RET) tyrosine kinase receptors (RTKs) above the additional RTK classes. Selpercatinib is indicated to treat non-small cell lung carcinoma (Goto *et al.*, 2020) and 2 types of thyroid cancers with alterations in the RET gene together with advanced medullary thyroid cancer (Anthony, 2020).

Selpercatinib (Retevmo) is an orally bioavailable wild-type selective inhibitor, fusion, and mutant products concerning the proto-oncogene receptor tyrosine kinase RET, with possible antineoplastic activity. When administered orally, it specifically binds to and targets different RET-containing fusion products and RET mutants which results in cell growth inhibition of tumor cells that show enhanced RET activity.

The chemical structure of selpercatinib was shown in Figure 1. A literature survey on selpercatinib revealed that LC-MS (Wang *et al.*, 2020) and LC-MS/MS (Senturk *et al.*, 2020) methods were reported so far for the determination of selpercatinib. The aim of the study is to establish novel, specific and precise reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography method for the quantification of selpercatinib in pure and its capsule dosage form.



Figure 1: Structure of Selpercatinib

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Instruments

Waters 2695 HPLC system equipped with quaternary pumps and photodiode array (PDA) detector was utilised. The pH of the solutions was calculated by a pH meter (BVK enterprises, India). All analytical measurements were done on Analytical balance (Denver).

#### **Chemicals and reagents**

Selpercatinib sample was obtained as a gift sample from Spectrum Pharma Research Solutions (Hyderabad). Ortho-phosphoric acid, methanol, potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate, acetonitrile were purchased from Rankem Laboratories Pvt Ltd. Millipore Milli Q water was prepared in the laboratory.

#### **Preparation of solutions**

#### **Preparation of diluents**

Acetonitrile and water in a ratio of 50:50 v/v was utilised as a diluent

#### Preparation of standard stock solutions

10mg of selpercatinib was weighed precisely and taken into a 50ml volumetric flask. The volume was then made up with diluent to obtain a drug standard stock solution of  $200\mu$ g/ml concentration.

#### Preparation of standard working solutions

Drug standard stock solution (1ml) was transferred to five individual volumetric flasks (10ml). The volume was then made up with diluent to obtain a drug concentration ranging from  $5\mu g/ml-30\mu g/ml$ .

#### Preparation of sample stock solutions

Ten capsules were weighed, the average weight of each capsule was determined. The weight identical to one capsule was then taken into a volumetric flask (100ml) and diluent (50 ml) was transferred to the flask. For 25 min flask was sonicated. The volume was then made up to the mark and filtered by using HPLC filters ( $200\mu$ g/ml of selpercatinib).

#### Preparation of sample working solutions

Filtered sample stock solution (0.5 ml) was taken into a volumetric flask (10ml) and made up to volume with diluent ( $20\mu$ g/ml of selpercatinib).

#### Method Validation

#### System suitability parameters

System suitability was considered under every validation parameter by introducing six replicate injections of the drug standard solution ( $20\mu$ g/ml). Parameters like tailing factor, plate count and column efficiency were noted (Latha *et al.*, 2017).

#### Specificity

The specificity was assessed by comparing the placebo solution with the drug solution by introducing the samples into the HPLC system. The resulting chromatograms were seen for the interference of placebo response with a drug peak response (AnjaneyuluReddy *et al.*, 2019).

#### Linearity

Linearity is the method capability to assemble test results that are proportionate to the concentration of an analyte in samples within a specified range. Dissimilar drug standard solutions were made to evaluate the linearity by diluting the drug stock solution with the diluents in different concentrations of selpercatinib ranging from 5  $\mu$ g/ml to 30  $\mu$ g/ml. The linearity plot of the calibration curve was assessed by linear regression analysis (Manikandan and Lakshmi, 2012).

#### Limit of detection

The LOD is the smallest concentration of the analyte in a sample that can be detected but not determined. The LOD was determined by using the following formula (Adison, F and Sanjay Pai, P. N., 2019).

Limit of detection= 3.3 X Standard deviation of the response /slope of calibration curve of the analyte.

#### Limit of quantification

The LOQ is the smallest concentration of an analyte in a sample, which might be quantified with appropriate accuracy and precision. The LOQ was determined by using the following formula.

Limit of quantification = 10 X Standard deviation of the response /slope of calibration curve of the analyte.

#### Accuracy

Accuracy is the degree of closeness of test results to the accurate value. Accuracy was performed at 50%, 100% and 150% by adding an acknowledged amount of sample stock solution of selpercatinib (0.5,1,1.5  $\mu$ g/ml) to the standard stock solution. The

percentage of recoveries were calculated (Diksha *et al.*, 2013).

#### Precision

Precision is the degree of closeness between the detector responses acquired by several individual estimations of the same sample under specified conditions. Precision was determined by introducing six replicates of selpercatinib standard solution into the HPLC system and % RSD was calculated (Chinmaya *et al.*, 2018).

#### Robustness

Robustness is an estimate of its capability to remain unchanged by little, but intentional changes in parameters of the analytical method and gives a suggestion of its consistency throughout usage. It was performed by varying the flow rate, temperature, ratio of the mobile phase (Raja *et al.*, 2015).

#### Forced degradation studies

#### Oxidation

One ml of 20% hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  was added to one ml of selpercatinib stock solution. The solution was heated at 60°C for 30 minutes on a water bath. Then the solution was cooled and made up to the mark using diluent.  $10\mu$ l of this solution was introduced into HPLC the system (Bhanu *et al.*, 2020).

#### Acid degradation

One ml of 2N hydrochloric acid (HCl) was added to a drug stock solution. For 30 minutes, the solution was heated at 60°C on a water bath. Then the solution was cooled and 1 ml 2N sodium hydroxide was added. The solution was made up to the mark using diluent.  $10\mu$ l of the solution was introduced into the system.

#### Alkali degradation

One ml of 2N sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was added to the drug solution. The solution was heated for 30 minutes at 60°C on a water bath. Then the solution was cooled and 1 ml 2N HCl was added. The solution made up with diluent. Ten  $\mu$ l of this solution was introduced into the system.

#### Dry heat degradation

The drug standard solution was kept in the oven for 6hrs at 105°C. The solution was made up with diluent.  $10\mu$ l of the solution was introduced into the system.

#### **Photolytic degradation**

 $200\mu$ g/ml selpercatinib solution was revealed to UV light by placing a beaker in UV Chamber for 200 Watt-hours/m<sup>2</sup>or 7 days in a photostability chamber. Then the solution was diluted and made up to

volume with the diluent.  $10\mu$ l of this solution was introduced into the HPLC system (Mathrusri *et al.*, 2019).

#### Neutral degradation

Neutral degradation was determined by refluxing the drug in the water at 60°C temperature for 6hrs. The solution was made up to volume with the diluent.  $10\mu$ l of this solution was introduced into the HPLC system.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Novel RP HPLC validated technique has been established for the quantification of selpercatinib. A Zorbax C18 column (150 x 4.6 mm, i.d.  $5\mu$ m particle size) was selected with mobile phase composition 0.1% orthophosphoric acid:ACN (60:40 v/v). The flow rate was kept at 1.0ml/min for the determination of selpercatinib. The developed chromatographic conditions were tabulated in Table 1. The results for all the parameters were summarised in Table 12.



Figure 2: Optimized chromatogram of Selpercatinib







Figure 4: LOD of Selpercatinib

#### System suitability parameters

A system suitability test was an essential part of the method development to confirm that the system is

| Parameter          | Chromatographic conditions         |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Stationary Phase   | ZorbaxC18 150x4.6 mm, 5 $\mu$      |  |  |
| Mobile Phase       | 0.1% OPA: acetonitrile (60:40 v/v) |  |  |
| Column temperature | 30°C                               |  |  |
| Injection Volume   | $10~\mu { m L}$                    |  |  |
| Total Run Time     | 6 min                              |  |  |
| Detector           | Photodiode array detector          |  |  |
| Elution            | Isocratic mode                     |  |  |
| Flow Rate          | 1.0ml/min                          |  |  |
| $\lambda_{max}$    | 220 nm                             |  |  |
|                    |                                    |  |  |

#### Table 1: Optimized chromatographic conditions

#### Table 2: System suitability data

| Parameter          | Selpercatinib |  |  |
|--------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Retention time     | 2.653         |  |  |
| Theoretical plates | 3712          |  |  |
| Tailing factor     | 1.47          |  |  |

#### Table 3: Linearity data of selpercatinib

| Conc. ( $\mu$ g/ml) | Peak area  |
|---------------------|--|
| 5                   | 95634  |
| 10                  | 189370   |
| 15                  | 274716   |
| 20                  | 373485   |
| 25                  | 464247   |
| 30                  | 552859   |
|                     | Conc. (µg/ml)<br>5<br>10<br>15<br>20<br>25<br>30 |

## Table 4: Accuracy data of selpercatinib

| % Level | Amount Spiked | Amount recovered | % Recovery | Mean   |
|---------|---------------|------------------|------------|--------|
|         | ( $\mu$ g/ml) | ( $\mu$ g/ml)    |            |        |
| 50%     | 10            | 9.98             | 99.78      | 99.74% |
|         | 10            | 10.09            | 100.87     |        |
|         | 10            | 9.96             | 99.59      |        |
| 100%    | 20            | 19.72            | 98.61      |        |
|         | 20            | 20.03            | 100.15     |        |
|         | 20            | 19.98            | 99.89      |        |
| 150%    | 30            | 29.83            | 99.42      |        |
|         | 30            | 29.72            | 99.05      |        |
|         | 30            | 30.09            | 100.31     |        |

\*Mean of three replicates

| <b>5</b> 1 | A                     |
|------------|-----------------------|
| S. No.     | Area of selpercatinib |
| 1          | 369172                |
| 2          | 368855                |
| 3          | 366301                |
| 4          | 370186                |
| 5          | 372102                |
| 6          | 366276                |
| Mean       | 368815                |
| S.D        | 2261.8                |
| % RSD      | 0.6                   |
|            |                       |

Table 5: System precision data of selpercatinib

#### Table 6: Repeatability data of selpercatinib

| S. No. | Area of selpercatinib |
|--------|-----------------------|
| 1      | 369650                |
| 2      | 365025                |
| 3      | 367566                |
| 4      | 365914                |
| 5      | 367584                |
| 6      | 363307                |
| Mean   | 366508                |
| S.D    | 2233.7                |
| % RSD  | 0.6                   |

#### Table 7: Intermediate precision data of selpercatinib

| -      | •                     |  |
|--------|-----------------------|--|
| S. No. | Area of selpercatinib |  |
| 1      | 375744                |  |
| 2      | 371751                |  |
| 3      | 374954                |  |
| 4      | 372564                |  |
| 5      | 373376                |  |
| 6      | 365756                |  |
| Mean   | 372358                |  |
| S.D    | 3556.3                |  |
| % RSD  | 1.0                   |  |
|        |                       |  |

#### Table 8: Robustness data for Selpercatinib

| S. No. | Condition               | % RSD |
|--------|-------------------------|-------|
| 1      | Mobile phase (+)55A:45B | 1.1   |
| 2      | Temp. (+) 35°C          | 0.3   |
| 3      | Flow rate (+) 1.1ml/min | 0.3   |
| 4      | Mobile phase (-)35A:65B | 0.9   |
| 5      | Temp. (-) 25°C          | 0.3   |
| 6      | Flow rate (-) 0.9ml/min | 0.3   |

|       | —                |                              |
|-------|------------------|------------------------------|
| S.No. | Sample details   | Retention time (min)         |
| 1     | Placebo solution | Interference is not detected |
| 2     | Blank solution   | Interference is not detected |
| 3     | Selpercatinib    | 2.647                        |
|       |                  |                              |

#### Table 9: Specificity data of Selpercatinib

#### Table 10: Assay data of Selpercatinib

| S.No.   | Sample area | Standard area | % recovery |
|---------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| 1       | 369650      | 369172        | 99.93      |
| 2       | 365025      | 368855        | 98.68      |
| 3       | 367566      | 366301        | 99.36      |
| 4       | 365914      | 370186        | 98.92      |
| 5       | 367584      | 372102        | 99.37      |
| 6       | 363307      | 366276        | 98.21      |
| Avg     | 366508      | 368815        | 99.08      |
| Std dev | 2233.7      | 2261.8        | 0.604      |
| % RSD   | 0.6         | 0.6           | 0.61       |

#### Table 11: Degradation data of Selpercatinib

|        | -              | -        |            |           |           |                |
|--------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| S. No. | Degradation    | % Drug   | % Drug     | Retention | Peak Area | Theoretical    |
|        | condition      | degraded | Undegraded | time      |           | plates (>2000) |
| 1      | Acid           | 5.87     | 94.13      | 2.540     | 348221    | 3637           |
| 2      | Alkali         | 4.99     | 95.01      | 2.630     | 351458    | 4027           |
| 3      | Oxidation      | 4.06     | 95.94      | 2.623     | 354902    | 3668           |
| 4      | Thermal        | 2.95     | 97.05      | 2.618     | 359023    | 3873           |
| 5      | Photostability | 1.77     | 98.23      | 2.622     | 363384    | 3575           |
| 6      | Neutral        | 1.11     | 98.89      | 2.628     | 365814    | 4002           |
|        |                |          |            |           |           |                |



Figure 5: LOQ of Selpercatinib

satisfactory for the analysis of selpercatinib. The parameters for the selpercatinib have revealed that the theoretical plates were > 2000, and the tailing factor was < 2 (Table 2), (Figure 2).

#### Linearity

The developed method demonstrated linearity in the concentration range of 5-  $30\mu$ g/ml. Linearity equations obtained for selpercatinib was found to be y = 18428x + 2196.2 with a correlation coefficient of 0.999. The high value of the correlation coefficient indicates good linearity. Results were tabulated in

Table 3, graphically depicted in Figure 3.

#### Accuracy

Three levels (50%, 100% and 150%) of accuracy samples were made using the standard addition method. The % recovery was obtained in the range of 98.61%-100.87%. High recovery results obtained from the method shows that the suggested method can be utilised for QC analysis of capsule dosage forms. Results were represented in Table 4.

#### Precision

Chromatogram data for system precision (Table 5) revealed that % RSD was found to be 0.6, which was within the limit specified (%RSD NMT 2.0%). Chromatogram data for repeatability (Table 6) revealed that % RSD was found to be 0.6, which was within the limit specified (%RSD NMT 2.0%). Chromatogram data for intermediate precision (Table 7) revealed that % RSD was found to be one which was within the limit specified (%RSD NMT 2.0%). Hence, it proved the method was found to be precise.



Figure 6: Chromatograms of blank, placebo, standard and sample



Figure 7: Typical chromatograms of forced degradation studies

| Parameters                    |                              | Values                     | Limit                    |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Linearity ( $\mu$ g/ml)       |                              | $5-30 \mu \mathrm{g/ml}$   |                          |
| Regression coefficient        |                              | 0.999                      |                          |
| Slope(m)                      |                              | 18428                      | R< 1                     |
| Intercept(c)                  |                              | 2196.2                     |                          |
| Regression equation (Y=mx+c)  |                              | y = 18428x + 2196.2        |                          |
| Specificity                   |                              | Specific                   | No intrusion of any peak |
| Accuracy (%recovery)          |                              | 99.74%                     | 98-102%                  |
| System precision (%RSD)       |                              | 0.6                        | NMT 2.0%                 |
| Intermediate precision (%RSD) |                              | 1                          |                          |
| Repeatability (%RSD)          |                              | 0.6                        |                          |
| Assay (% mean assay)          |                              | 99.08%                     | 90-110%                  |
| LOD                           |                              | $0.02~\mu  m g/ml$         | NMT 3                    |
| LOQ                           |                              | $0.05 \ \mu \mathrm{g/ml}$ | NMT 10                   |
| Robustness                    | Mobile phase (+) 45B:<br>55A | 1.1                        | %RSD NMT 2.0             |
|                               | Flow rate (+) 1.1ml/min      | 0.3                        |                          |
|                               | Temperature (+) 35°C         | 0.3                        |                          |
|                               | Mobile phase (-) 65B:<br>35A | 0.9                        |                          |
|                               | Flow rate (-) 0.9ml/min      | 0.3                        |                          |
|                               | Temperature (-) 25°C         | 0.3                        |                          |
|                               |                              |                            |                          |

#### Table 12: Summary Table

### Sensitivity

LOD of selpercatinib was detected to be  $0.02 \ \mu g/ml$ . LOQ of selpercatinib was detected to be  $0.05 \ \mu g/ml$ . Chromatograms of Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantification were demonstrated in Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.

#### Robustness

The robustness was assessed by introducing little, intentional variations in the chromatographic conditions, which comprise the flow rate of mobile ( $\pm$  0.1 ml/min), % of acetonitrile in the mobile phase (35 & 45%) and temperature( $\pm$ 5).

Robustness was carried out using 10  $\mu$ g/ml of selpercatinib and the % RSD was found to be 0.3-1.1. Data was represented in Table 8.

## Specificity

Specificity was performed by introducing a blank solution, placebo solution, selpercatinib standard and sample solutions. Data represented in Table 9 (Figure 6) indicates that was no interference in the placebo and blank sample at the retention time of the standard selpercatinib sample. Therefore, the method was specific.

#### Assay

Retevmo (label claim 40mg) was used for the assay.

The percentage purity of selpercatinib was found to be 99.08-99.93 in pharmaceutical formulations. Data was represented in Table 10.

## Forced degradation studies

Selpercatinib was eluted at 2.647 min. Selpercatinib has undergone acid degradation (5.87%), alkali degradation (4.99%), oxidation (4.06%), thermal degradation (2.95%), photolytic degradation (1.77%) and neutral degradation (1.11%), which is less than 10%, indicating that the selpercatinib is more resistant towards all forced degradation conditions applied.

During acid degradation and alkali degradation, an extra peak was observed at 1.1857 min and 1.1873, along with the drug peak at 2.640 min (Table 11; Figure 7). The system suitability parameters were within limits.

## CONCLUSION

Validated RP-HPLC method has been established for the quantification of selpercatinib in pure and its capsule dosage forms. The developed method was found to be precise, specific and accurate. Hence, the method can be utilised in the quality control analysis of selpercatinib.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the management of GITAM (Deemed to be University), Visakhapatnam, for providing the research facilities.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this study.

#### **Funding Support**

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Adison, F and Sanjay Pai, P. N. 2019. A validated stability-indicating RP-HPLC method for estimation of tolfenamic acid in the presence of its pharmacopoeial impurities. *International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics*, 11(5):264–270.
- AnjaneyuluReddy, B., Radhakrishnanand, P., IrshadAlam, M., RaviKiran, P. 2019. A Validated Stability Indicating RP-HPLC Method Development for Anticancer Drug Enzalutamide in Bulk and Pharmaceuticals. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Drug Research*, 11(3):85–90.
- Anthony, M. 2020. Selpercatinib: First Approval. *Drugs*, 80(11):1119–1124.
- Bhanu, P., Sundararajan, R., Prathyusha, P., Mukthinuthalapati, M. A. 2020. A new stability indicating RP-UFLC method for determination of Luliconazole in bulk and pharmaceutical formulation. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 13(6):2859–2863.
- Chinmaya, K. S., Sudhakar, M., Nalini, K. S., Ram, M. R. S. 2018. Validation of analytical methods: a review. *Journal of Innovation in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2(1):8–15.
- Diksha, R., Vaishnav, R., Anju, G. 2013. Validation of Analytical Methods for Pharmaceutical Analysis. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 3(1):31–40.
- Goto, K., Oxnard, G. R., Tan, D. S. W., Loong, H. H. F., Bauer, T. M., Gainor, J. F., McCoach, C. E., Gautschi, O., Besse, B., Cho, B. C., Peled, N., Weiss, J., Kim, Y., Ohe, Y., Horiike, A., Park, K., Huang, X., Olek, E., Subbiah, V., Drilon, A. E. 2020. Selpercatinib (LOXO-292) in patients with RET-fusion+ non-small cell lung cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 38(15):3584–3584.
- Latha, S. T., AnandaThangadurai, S., Jambulingam, M., Sereya, K., Kamalakannan, D., Anilkumar, M. 2017. Development and validation of RP-HPLC

method for the estimation of Erlotinib in pharmaceutical formulation. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 10:S1138–S1144.

- Manikandan, K., Lakshmi, K. S. 2012. Method development and validation of Risperidone by RP-HPLC. *International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical and Nano Sciences*, 1(1):87–95.
- Mathrusri, A. M., Yasaswini, S. R., Sai, S. A. 2019. New Stability Indicating RP-UFLC Method for the Determination of Bumetanide - A Potent Diuretic. *Acta Scientific Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 3(8):2581–5423.
- Raja, A. M., Anusha, S., David, B., Rao, K. N. V., Selvakumar, D. 2015. Analytical method development and validation of anticancer drugs (imatinib and cabacitabine) by RP-HPLC method. *Asian Journal of Research in Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 3(2):51–65.
- Şenturk, R., Wang, Y., Schinkel, A. H., Beijnenjos, H., Rolf, W. S. 2020. Quantitative bioanalytical assay for the selective RET inhibitors selpercatinib and pralsetinib in mouse plasma and tissue homogenates using liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 1147(122131):1–8.
- Wang, W., Shi, L., Jin, L., Wang, K. 2020. Determination of selpercatinib, a RET kinase inhibitor in rat plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study. *Biomedical Chromatography*. e5052.