https://ijrps.com

ISSN: 0975-7538
Review Article

Reed bed systems for treatment of poultry pre-treated waste water

Revathi Devaraj, Venkata Ravibabu Mandla*, Sannidhi Krishna Praveen, Jaydeep Lella

Environmental and Water Resources Engineering, School of Civil and Chemical Engineering (SCALE), VIT University, Vellore -632014, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

The wastewater released by poultry businesses are portrayed for the most part by high biochemical oxygen request, high suspended solids and complex blend of fats, proteins and fibers requiring orderly treatment before transfer. Due to the increase in usage of water, waste water generation is high and also constitutes high concentration of pollutants comprising with wide range. Degree of treatment required for poultry processors and it have the option of utilising Physical, Chemical and Organic treatment frameworks. Every framework sort possesses unique treatment favourable circumstances and operational troubles. Among the diverse treatment, Reed Bed Treatment System is good alternative and effective system for treating the poultry waste water. This review article is focused performance of reed bed system, design consideration and remove methods.

Keywords: Design; Reed Bed; Removal methods; Phosphorus; Treatment; Total Nitrogen; Wastewater; Pathogenic Bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

The Reed bed innovation was produced in Germany in the 1960s by Kathe Seidel. There has been a ton of worldwide research on this eco-innovative strategy since this time and our technical group utilizes diverse techniques with either level or vertical stream vector or combinations of both or with conventional treatment processes. Items delivered from the butcher of poultry fall into two essential classifications: consumable and inedible (Ockerman and Hansen, 2000). The greatest percent yield of palatable or 'dressed' product from the different poultry species ranges from a high of 77 percent for turkeys to a low of58 percent for ducks. Chicken dressed rate yields midpoints 70 percent (Hedrick et al., 1994; Mountney, 1966). This i mplies 23 to 42 percent of prepared poultry is ordered as inedible creature by-item and in this way should be used or discarded outside of the human edible market. In general a dressed poultry corpse can be separated into five noteworthy parts: wings, thighs, drumsticks, bosoms, and back (Romans et al., 1994). Today, uniform cut-up parts ordained for retail deal are frequently put on plate and over wrapped with plastic film or 'plate pressed' at the processing plant. Singular parts are cut up and bundled, as well as each individual package is regularly weighed, evaluated, and printed with the store's mark and scanner tag for automated checkout (Mountney and Parkhurst, 1995). Wastewater can be characterized as the rest of the spent water that has been utilized by people as a part of homes, business foundations, ventures, public institutions, and comparative substances for different purposes (Sincero and Sincero, 2003).

Wastewater enters the earth through either "point" or 'non-point' sources. Point sources are limited areas, for example, channels, where wastewater enters water bodies. On the other hand, wastewater that originates from diffuse sources, for example, the spill over from rural fields or parking lots are characterized as non-point (Welch and Lindell, 1992).

Wastewater gathered in metropolitan sewer frameworks is included household or 'sanitary' wastewater, mechanical wastewater, invasion and inflow into sewer lines, and tempest water runoff (Canter and Harfouche, 2000).Many nourishment preparing ventures, poultry handling is characterized by moderately high use of water, the majority of it for non-destructive purposes (Kroyer, 1991). Regularly, grill butcher operations create 5 to 10 gallons of wastewater perbird handled (CAST, 1995). Sanitary wastewater is contained wastewater from residences and incorporates spent water from restrooms, showering, and washing of dishes and fabrics. These same activities likewise result in sterile wastewater era at business and modern facilities sources (Metcalf and Eddy, Inc., 1991). Untreated clean wastewater is portrayed by a greyish-chestnut shading, solid scent and is moderately weaken. The five noteworthy constituents of sanitary wastewater that are focused for evacuation through treatment are organics (measured by biochemical oxygen request or

* Corresponding Author
Email: ravi.mandla@gmail.com
Contact: +91-
Received on: 03-01-2016
Revised on: 14-11-2016
Accepted on: 17-11-2016

BOD), add up to suspended solids (TSS), nitrogen, phosphorus, and pathogenic microorganisms (CSUS, 1993; Welch and Lindell, 1992). Sterile wastewater generation rates per individual fluctuate in light of the sort of lodging or business office; however normal usage typically ranges from 45 to 95 gallons for every individual every day (Metcalf and Eddy, Inc., 1991).

Subsurface stream built wetlands initially developed as a wastewater treatment innovation in western Europe in view of research by Seidel starting in the 1960s, and by Kickuth in the late 1970s and early1980s. Early formative work in the United States initiated in the early 1980s with the examination of Wolverton, et al.

Design Considerations

Kickuth proposed the utilization of firm soils rather than sand or rock; the vegetation of preference was Totora and the plan stream way was flat through the dirt media. Kickuth's theory suggested that the development advancement and demise of the plant roots and rhizomes would open up flow channels, to a profundity of around 0.6m (2ft) in firm soil, so that the water powered conductivity of dirt like soil would slowly be changed over to what might as well be called a sandy soil. This would permit course through the media at sensible rates and would likewise exploit.

Abuse the adsorptive limit of the dirt for phosphorus and different materials. Exceptionally viable expulsion of BOD5, TSS, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and more unpredictable organics was asserted. Therefore, by1990 around 500 of these 'Reed Bed' or 'Root zone' frameworks had been developed in Germany, Denmark, Australia, and Switzerland. The kind of frameworks in operation incorporates nearby single family units and in addition bigger frameworks treating civil and mechanical wastewaters. A significant number of the early frameworks were planned (Boon, 1985) with a basis of 2.2m 2 of bed surface territory per population identical (PE). A PE in European terms is equalent to the natural stacking from one person or roughly 0.04 Kg/d BOD5 in ordinary essential gushing. That is equivalent to a surface organic stacking of around 180kg/ha/d (162 lb/air conditioning/d). The all the more as of late built frameworks in Europe (Cooper, 1990) have been intended for 5 to 10 m2/PE (40-80kg/ha/d).

Wolver ton's work in Louisiana started with exploratory seat scale plate in a green house containing rock or rock media and supporting a remain of developing oceanic vegetation (Wolverton and McDonald, 1983). The plate were loaded with waste water, and afterward depleted after a certain number of hours (range 12 to 48 hours). Basically the method was a fill and draw batch sort handle. Incredible execution was exhibited for BOD5, TSS, and NH, and moderate execution for phosphorus with a one-day HRT (Wolverton and McDonald, 1983).The run of the mill natural stacking amid these analyses (at one-day HRT) was around 58 kg/ha/d(52 lb/air conditioning/d), and the pressure driven stacking was around 8 cm/d (3.5 in/d). Plan criteria in light of this work (Jones and Wolverton, 1990) included one day HRT, around five sections of land of bed surface zone per mgd, and up to 15.1 viewpoint proportion (L:W) . These 'criteria, or varieties, have been generally connected and, starting 1991, there were around 60 frameworks in operation or in different frameworks extend from on site single families to substantial scale civil frameworks (up to 4 mgd) (Jones and Wolverton,1990).

Nutrient removal mechanisms

The most widely recognized sort Reed Bed frameworks in Northern Europe is the flat subsurface flow (SSF) reed bed, which has been appeared to be reliably great in the evacuation of BOD, Suspended solids (SS) and Pathogenic living beings (Schierupet al., 1990; Vymazalet al., 1998; Nerallaet al., 2001; Vymazalet al., 2001; Steer et al., 2002; Garcia et al., 2003; Vega et al., 2003; Akratos and Tsihrintzis, 2007; Pujgagutet al., 2007).

1. **Total Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal**

Nutrient Supplement evacuation in such frameworks has ended up being more factor because of the complex interaction of various parameters, for example, water science, atmosphere (air temperature, sun powered radiation, humidity, and precipitation). Toxin focus and vegetation, each of which has its own annual cycle, creating changes in supplement supply, arrival of compound substances and biological activities of microorganisms and plants (Kadlec, 1999). Add up to nitrogen (TN) evacuation rates reported for these frameworks, for instance, have extended from high expulsions of more than 90% (Sovik and Morkved, 2008) to expulsions as low as 11% (Kuschk et al., 2003). Mander et al., (2000) recorded TN expulsion proficiency fluctuating from 12% to 85% with little decay in performance amid the icy season, while Maehlum and Stalnacke (1999) discovered under 10%removal contrasts amongst warm and chilly periods. Similarly, SF reed beds for the most part do not remove high measure of P from wastewater. An outline of the execution productivity of such wetlands in a scope of European countries showed mean total phosphorus(TP) removals of between 26.7% and 61.4% (Vymazal, 2002),most probably as a result of the different media types used and the complex dynamic interactions occurring internally in wetland systems.

Constructed Wetland outpouring water convergences of P are (with respect to N) as factor as the percentage diminishments appeared in Table 7. Sun et al. (1999) report inflow values for DRP as 70mg/l and outpouring 32 mg/l Dunne and Culleton (2004) recorded inflow and surge concentration ranging from 13 to 20 mg/l and 0.3 to 1 mg/l individually. The HF and VF wetland comes about of Luderitz and Gerlach (2003) were for metropolitan effluents and the low N inflow fixations of12 and 15.5 mg/l were diminished to 0.5 and 0.7

Table 1: Rate decrease of Phosphorous as recorded by different creators

Author	Reed bed type	DRP%	%Reduction
Koskiaho et al., (2003)	SF (Cold climate)	33	6-67
Uusi-kampa et al., (2003)	SF (cold climate)	-	41
Sun et al., (1999)	SF	55 (PO4-P)	-
Luderitz and Gerlach (2003)	SF (HF and VF)	-	95-97
Shilton et al., (2003a)	SF	45(PO4-P)	-
Braskerud (2002b)	SF (cold climate)	-	21-44
Dunne and culleton (2204)	SF	93-98 (PO4-P)	-

mg/l. Koskiahoet al. (2003) found that of three CW utilized as a part of the trial, the wetland with the most limited maintenance times turned into a net source for dissolved receptive P. The destiny of P and its cycling in built wetlands can be considered with deference to interactions between a few compartments, including water, plants, micro biota, silt/ litter and media. If not as of now accomplished in pre-treatment, natural oxidation of P inside reed beds initially changes over most P species to a solvent orthophosphate (PO4-P) from (Cooper et al., 1996).From there, key expulsion components incorporate P-adsorption onto the bed's media, precipitation/obsession responses, bacterial activity and biomass take-up (Reed et al., 1995; IWA, 2000). Field encounter recommends P evacuation by macrophyte take-up and ensuing reaping is not a feasible instruments and will represent a little rate of the total phosphorus (TP) expelled (<10% and much of the time <5%) (Brix, 1994; Vymazal, 1999; Obarskapempkowiak, 1999; Kim and Geary, 2000).

2. **Suspended solids Removal**

Most suspended solids are expelled through sedimentation and filtration, as vegetation obstructs the stream and lessens speeds. In many applications, a sedimentation lake is added upstream of the wetland cells to advance the evacuation of bigger suspended particles and minimize the chance of stopping up the wetland cells. The lake can likewise weaken the crude influent in the event that it is considered too strong. These procedures expel a huge part of the BOD, supplements (for the most part nitrogen and phosphorus) and pathogens.

3. **Pathogenic Bacterial Removal**

Pathogen evacuation in built wetlands is accomplished through a blend of characteristic bite the dust off, temperature, daylight (bright light), water science, predatation and sedimentation. Despite the nearness of water, a wetland is a threatening spot for pathogens. Developed wetlands have been shown to diminish approaching pathogens numbers by up to five requests of size (Reed et al., 1995).

CONCLUSION

The proper utilization of wastewater is one of the most critical issues facing wastewater treatment plants today. The wastewater era is high in poultries furthermore having high convergence of toxins. Reed Bed Treatment System is good alternative and effective system for treating the poultry wastewater. Numerous sustenance handling enterprises and poultry preparing units is described by moderately high use of water & generating predominantly high amount of waste water. Normally, oven butcher operations create 5 to 10 gallons of wastewater for every fl ying creature prepared. Untreated sterile wastewater is portrayed by greyish-cocoa shading, solid smell and is moderately weaken. The most common type Reed Bed systems is the level Sub Surface Flow(SSF) reed bed, which has been appeared to be reliably great in the expulsion of BOD, Suspended solids (SS) and Pathogenic life forms. Through this technique Total Nitrogen Phosphorous, Suspended solids and Pathogenic Bacteria can be removed. Finally Reed Bed System performance, design considerations and removal methods are effective enough for the treatment of poultry pre-treated waste water.

REFERENCES

Ames, Iowa, Council for Agricultural Science and Technology", 124.

APHA, Standard methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (2005)", American Public Heal th Association, Washington, D.C21st Edition.

Application of the technology. In Constructed Wetlands for Water Pollution Control: Process, performance, Design and Operation (2000)", Science and Technical Report No. 8, International Water Association: London, 23-39.

Boon, Report of a Visit by Members and Staff of WRC to Germany to lnvestigate the Root Zone Method for Treatment of Wastewaters(1985)", Water Research Centre, Stevenage, England.

Braskerud, Factors affecting phosphorus retention in small Constructed wetlands treating agricultural non-point source pollution (2002)" Journal of Ecological Engineering, 19 (1) 41-61.

British standards institution.BS 62 code of practice for design and installation of small sewage treatment works and cesspools (1983)", London, BSI.

Brix, Hans, Constructed wetlands for municipal wastewater treatment in Europe, Proceedings: Wetland Systems in Water Pollution Control(1992)",University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.

Canter LW, Harfouche N, Sources and Characteristics In: Waste water Treatment (2000)".

CAST, Waste Management and Utilization in Food Production and Processing(1995)", Task Force.

Constructed Wetlands and Aquatic Plant Systems for Municipal Wastewater Treatment (1998)",EPA Design Manual.

Constructed Wetlands Treatment of Municipal Wastewaters (2000)",EPA Manual .

Cooper, European Design and Operations Guidelines for Reed Bed Treatment Systems (1990)",,Swindon England, A-5.

DunneE, Culleton, Farm scale constructed wetlands: An interim report, Nutrient Management in Agricultural Watersheds - A Wetland Solution (2004)", Teagasc Research Centre, Johnstown Castle, Co Wexford, Ireland, 24-26.

Green MB, Upton, Constructed reed beds: A cost effective way to polish waste water effluents for small communities (1994)", Wat. Env. Res, 66(3), 188-192.

Haberl, Constructed Wetlands: a chance to solve wastewater problems in developing countries (1999)",Water Science and Technology, 40 (3), 11-17.

Hedrick HB, Aberle ED, Forrest JC, Judge MD, Merkel RA, Principles of Meat Science (1994)", Kendall Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, Iowa.

Henry, An Overview of the Phytoremediation of Lead and Mercury (2000)", USEPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response Technology Innovative Office, Washington, D.C. (http://conference.ifas.ufl .edu/nutrient/ index.html).

http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/hum-sets.htm. Accessed 1st Dec

Hunt PG and Poach ME, Start of the art for animal wastewater treatment in constructedwetlands (2001)", Water sci.technology, 44 (11-12), 19-25.

Jenner,Improved grower/integrator relations would enhance poultry industry (1998)".

Jones AA, Wolverton BC, Microbial Rock Plant Filter Treating Municipal Wastewater (1990)", Louisiana State University, Sixth Regional Municipal Technology Forum.

Kadlec RH, Knight, Treatment Wetlands (1996)", CRC Press-Lewis Publishers, New York.

Kadlec, Robert H, Robert Knight L,Treatment Wetlands (1996)", Lewis Publishers, AnnArbor, Michigan.

Kikuth, Degradation and incorporation of nutrients from rural wastewaters by plant rhizosphere under liminic conditions (1977)",Utilization of Manure by Land Spreading, Comm. of theEurop. Communitite, EUR 5672e, London, 235-243.

Koskiaho J, Ekholm P, Raty M, Riihimaki J, Puustinen, Retaining agriculturalnutrients in constructed wetlands – experiences under boreal conditions (2003)", Ecological Engineering,20 (1), 89-103.

Kroyer, Food processing wastes, in: Bio conversion of waste materials to industrial Products (1991)", Elsevier applied science, Newyork, 293-311.

Kuschk P, Wiebner A, Kappelmeyer U, Weibbrodt E, Kastner M, Stottmeister,Annual cycle of nitrogen removal in a pilot-scale subsurface horizontal flow constructed wetlandin a moderate climate (2003)", Water Research 37, 4236-4242.

Langergraber G, Haberl R, Laber J, Pressl, Evaluation of substrate cloggingprocesses in vertical flow constructed wetlands (2003)",Water Science and Technology, 48 (5), 25-34.

Liu DHF and Liptak BG (Editors), Lewis Publishers, New York, 114-142.

Luderitz V, Gerlach F, Phosphorus removal in different constructed wetlands(2003)", ActaBiotechnologica, 22 (1/2), 91-99.

Mehta R, Nambiar,Live stock industrialization trade and social-health environmentimpact in developing countries (2002)".

Metcalf Eddy, Wastewater Engineering,Treatment, Disposal, and Reuse (1991)", third edition, New York, 1333.

Okoli IC, Nweke CU, Okoli CG, Opara MN, Assessment of the mycoflora ofcommercial poultry feeds sold in the humid tropical environment of Imo State (2006), Nigeria.Int. J.Environ. Sci. Tech., 3 (1), 9-14.

Rajakumar, Meenambal, Rajesh Banu, Treatment of poultry slaughterhousewastewater in upflow anaerobic filter under low upflow velocity (2000)".

Reed SC, Crites RW, Middlebrooks EJ, Natural System for Wastewater Managementand Treatment(1995), second ed. McGraw-Hill Inc, New York, 433.

Romans JR, Costello WJ, Carlson CW, Greaser ML, Jones KW, The Meat We Eat (1994)", Interstate Publishers, Thirteenth edition.

Schierup HH, Brix H, Lorenzen, Wastewater treatment in constructed reed beds in Denmark (1990)",Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 495–504.

Shilton AN, Craggs RJ, Walmsley N, Kayser K, Kunst S, Phillippi LS, SoaresHM, Nutrient removal from piggery effluent using vertical flow constructed wetlands insouthern Brazil (2003)", Water Science and Technology, 48 (2), 129-135.

Sims JT, Wolf DC, Poultry waste management: Agricultural and environmentalissues (1994)", Advances in Agronomy, volume 52, 2-83.

Sincero AP, Sincero GA, Physical-Chemical Treatment of Waste and Wastewater (2003)", CRC Press,New York.

Solano ML, Soriano P, Ciria MP, Constructed Wetlands as a Sustainable Solutionfor Wastewater Treatment in Small Villages (2004)",Biosystem Engineering,87 (1), 109-118,.

Surface JM, Peverly JH, Steenhui TS, Sanford, Effect of Season, SubstrateComposition, and Plant Growth On Landfill Leachate Treatment in a Constructed Wetland (1993)", InConstructed Wetlands for Water Quality Improvement, 461- 472

UNSD (United Nations Statistics Division).Social Indicators; (2006)".

Uusi-Kämppä J, Braskerud B, Jansson H, Syversen N, Uusitalo, Buffer zones and constructed wetlands as filters for Agricultural phosphorus (2000),Journal of Environmental Quality,29 (1), 151-158.

Vymazal J, Brix H, Cooper PF, Haberl R, Perfler R, Laber J, Removal mechanisms and types of constructed wetlands (1999)",BackhuysPublishers, 17–66.

Vymazal J, Kröpfelová L, Wastewater treatment in constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow (2008)", Springer.

Vymazal, Removal of phosphorus in constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow in the Czech Republic (1999)",Backhuys Publishers, 73-83.

Welch EB, Lindell T, Ecological Effects of Wastewater: Applied Limnology and Pollutant Effects (1992)", Second Edition

Wolverton BC, McDonald RC, Duffer WR, Microorganisms and Higher Plants for Wastewater Treatment (1983)",J. Environmental Quality,12(2), 236-242.