

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Published by JK Welfare & Pharmascope Foundation

Journal Home Page: <u>https://ijrps.com</u>

Advantages of the laparoscopic approach in the management of acute abdomen in Karbala governorate, Iraq

Ali Hussein Abid Swailem Al-Tai*

Department of Maxillofacial Surgery College of Dentistry, Kerbala University, Iraq

Article History:	ABSTRACT C. Check for updates
Received on: 09.09.2018 Revised on: 17.12.2018 Accepted on: 20.12.2018 <i>Keywords:</i>	Acute abdomen is a common surgical problem that needs experience and medical efforts to save lives and decrease diagnostic and therapeutic difficul- ties. Laparoscopy is an excellent recent method to visualize the peritoneal cavity and give an accurate diagnosis of avoiding problems of management. The laparoscopic usage in the management of emergency help in - Early di-
Acute abdomen, Diagnostic laparoscopy, Therapeutic laparoscopy, Laparoscopic-assisted open surgery, Open laparotomy	agnosis as it considered as a diagnostic and therapeutic method. It has an im- portant role in the avoidance of (negative) laparotomy. It also helps in short- ening of the period of hospitalization and so decreases medical cost the role of laparoscopic approach in cosmetic and morbidity outcomes is very prom- inent. A prospective study was performed by following up of 60 patients of acute abdomen who admitted to the emergency unit of Al-Hussein teaching hospital. (All of the studied cases were investigated first by imaging investi- gations like (Ultrasonography and X-ray of chest and abdomen). The most frequent clinical features in this study were a pain- The most common local (abdominal) sign while the most common systemic sign was a fever. The most common condition is acute appendicitis (50%) sometimes accompa- nied by drainage of free fluids. Laparoscopic peptic perforation repair was done in 9% while 6% of cases were adhesive intestinal obstruction treated by freeing adhesolysis. Enteric perforations constituted 6% of cases. 5% of cases were ended with laparoscopic-assisted surgeries when 2combined ap- proaches were needed, but the main role was for laparoscopy.

* Corresponding Author

Name: Dr Ali Hussein Abid Swailem Al-Tai Email: taeeali24@yahoo.com

ISSN: 0975-7538

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v10i1.1896

Production and Hosted by IJRPS | <u>https://ijrps.com</u> © 2019 | All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Acute abdomen is a sudden severe abdominal pain. It is in many cases a surgical emergency requiring urgent and specific diagnosis. Several cases need surgical treatment. The main causes are acute appendicitis acute peptic ulcer perforation acute cholecystitis acute pancreatitis. Acute abdomen is occasionally used synonymously with peritonitis while this is not entirely incorrect peritonitis is a more specific term referring to inflammation of the

peritoneum. The most specific finding is rigidity. It manifests on physical examination as rebound tenderness. In the emergency department, acute abdomen patients need simultaneous rapid medical (history examination and urgent actions) which are essential for early accurate diagnosis & treatment. The prognosis of patients depends on the experiences of medical staff received and managed them. The diagnostic laparoscopy is a new method that helps the surgeon to observe abdominal viscera and eliminates difficulties in diagnostic and therapeutic accordingly. In previous studies the classical open laparotomy comparing with the laparoscopic approach the results were better in laparoscopic approach in diagnosis and treatment of acute abdomen in following terms Early diagnosisnegative laparotomy postoperative pain a period of hospitalization and medical cost cosmetic results morbidity. All these items improved in laparoscopic approach in (20-25%).

(Grundmann *et al.*, 2010). Our study aimed to assess the value of laparoscopy in the diagnosis and treatment of acute abdomen. Therapeutic procedures like laparoscopic appendectomy, drainage and lavage of fluids and laparoscopic-assisted resection and anastomosis may be performed simultaneously.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was performed during the period from 1-1-2014 to 31-12-2017. The first 2years were for the collection of data and treatment while the last 3rd year was restricted for follow up of the results. A total of 60 patients were complaining of acute abdominal pain who were admitted to the emergency department unit of Al-Hussein teaching hospital and hence underwent laparoscopic diagnostic examinations before or instead of therapeutic laparoscopic surgeries. Before operations for acute abdomen. The Patients were investigated by one or more imaging diagnostic tests like X-Ray of the chest (erect, supine, decubitus), X-Ray of abdomen and pelvis (erect, supine), the US of the abdomen, CT-scan of chest and abdomen. The variables were studied age, gender, clinical features type of pathology, type of surgery, operative time hospitalization period analgesia requirement.

RESULTS

In this study, the acute abdomen was equally distributed between 2 genders male/female ratio 1:1. The age distribution showed that the most affected age group was (11-30) years as seen in the table (1).

Table 1: Age and sex distribution of acute abdomen

Age(Y)	Male	Female
<10	0	2
11-20	9	9
21-30	15	12
31-40	3	3
50-41	1	2
51-60	1	2
>60	1	0
Total	30	30

Male/female ratio 1/1 the most common clinical feature in this study as a symptom was a pain while the most common local sign was the abdominal and fever was the frank systemic sign as in table (2).

The most common condition seen was acute appendicitis (50%) of cases. It was sometimes accompanied by drainage of intraperitoneal accumulates. Laparoscopic. Peptic perforations repair is done in 9 patients (15%) of cases. They are freeing of the intestinal band as a result of the adhesive type of intestinal obstruction (adhes lysis) 6% of

cases. These surgical procedures sometimes accompanied by drainage of intraperitoneal accumulates 6 patients laparoscopic-assisted open Surgeries approaches were needed. While 3 patients converted to open classical laparotomies were performed. In 5% of cases, lap avoids the patient any additional surgical procedures other than diagnostic as in table (3).

Table 2:	Clinical	features	of acute	abdomen
Table 2.	unnear	icatul c5	oracute	abaomen

Tuble Li chinear reatar es or acate abaomen			
Feature	No.	%	
Symptom			
Pain	54	90	
Vomiting	36	60	
Constipation	15	25	
Sign			
Abdominal sign	48	80	
Fever	30	50	
Distension	12	20	

Table 3: Type of pathology and type of surgery in the treatment of acute abdomen under study

Management	No.	%
Therapy. lap	48	80
Append.	30	50
Perf. P.u	9	15
Enter.perf.	6	10
Adhesiolysis	6	10
Additional procedures	12	20
Lap.assissted	6	10
Lap.converted	3	5
Laparotomy avoided	3	5

The average time of laparoscopic appendicectomy was 45 minutes 50%% cases. The rest of the procedures period was between (40-120) minutes. As in table (4).

Table 4: Operative time (minutes)

Operative time	Operation
(min)	
45	Lap. Append.
80	Lap.peptic perforation
60	Lap.enteric perforation
80	Lap. Freeing adhesiolysis
80	Lap. Assisted laparotomy
120	Lap.converted laparotomy
40	Laparotomy avoided

Table 5: Post-operative hospitalization (days)
--

		(
Hospitalization period (day)	No.	%
<2 days	30	50
35 days	24	40
>6 days	6	10

50% of Laparoscopic procedures were discharged within 2days.40% of patients under study stayed (3-5) days in the hospital only a few cases (10%) need to stay up to 7days as in table (5).

25% of laparoscopic were required only single dose analgesia, and 25% % of patients lap analgesia

for 1day, and only 10 % of patients needed analgesia for 5 days in open lap. Assisted and converted surgery done. As in table (6).

Table 6: Requirement of analgesia (days)			
Analgesic dose	No.	%	
1 dose	15	25	
1 day	15	25	
2	12	20	
3	9	15	
4	6	10	
>5	6	10	

Table 6: Requirement of analgesia (days)

DISCUSSION

More common age group affected in this study from (15-45) years more than 90% belong to this group. As seen in studies (Hagos, 2015). The male/female ratio in this study was 1:1.no gender variation between patients under study as in studies (Mandalà *et al.*, 2006). Pain present in most of the patients 96%. Nausea and vomiting were the next positive symptoms constipation was also present in some cases. Abdominal signs tendernesgardening and rigidity then distention. Were seen in most cases of acute abdomen in this study .2nd sign was fever was observed in most the cases as seen in studies= studies (Navez and Navez, 2014).

A total of 60 cases were analysed. 1-48 Patients (80%) were completed successively as diagnostic and therapeutic laparoscopy.

A- Appendicectomy was performed in 30 (50%) patients. B-Peptic perforations repair performed in 9 Patients (15%) C- Band freeing as a treatment of intestinal obstruction or adhesiolysis 6 patients (10%) D-Enteric perforations were (3) patients (5%) 2 of them ileal typhoid perforation. The third case was Perforated Mickles diverticulum

2-12patients (20%) were ended in different ways as follows; A-6 patients (10%) were laparoscopic needed (assisted) open surgery 3 complicated appendectomies, e.g. difficulties 2 and 1 cecal involvement &3 complicated ovarian 2cysts gangrenous and 1hudge perforated B-3Laparascopic surgeries converted to classical open surgery first ruptured hydatid cyst. 2nd ischemic (gangrenous) bowel 3rdruptured uterus C-3patients where classical laparotomy was avoided as followings 1st patient was diagnosed as primary peritonitis. 2nd appendicular mass which was treated by Oschner Sheren method (conservatively) 3rd pelvic abscess which was treated by lavage and tube drainage and antibiotics without the need for (opening of the abdomen). The most common condition was managed in this study was acute appendicitis.it was sometimes accompanied by drainage of free fluids. Laparoscopic peptic perforation repair was done in

9% while 6% of cases were adhesive intestinal obstruction treated by freeing adhesolysis. Enteric perforations constituted 6% of cases. 5% of cases were ended with laparoscopic assisted surgeries when 2combined approaches were needed, but the main role was for laparoscopy. Only 5% of cases in which lap surgeries were converted to open in, i.e. 2 approaches were needed but the laparoscopic approach failed in progression, and the essential role was to laparotomy in few cases no pathology was diagnosed and the only drainage of fluids and lavage done .as in studies (Chung, Diaz and Chari, 1998). (Mayum., 2015). 50% of our patients were discharged within 2days after operations.40% of patients were discharged within 3-5 days. only a few cases 10% stay up to 6 days or more in whom laparoscopic-assisted and laparoscopic open laparotomy as in the study of (Golash and Willson, 2005). 50% of our patients needed analgesia as a single dose or for 1day. Only 10% of cases were required analgesia for 5days in whom lap assisted open surgery done. As 50% in studies (Gerbershagen et al., 2013).

CONCLUSION

The laparoscopic approach gives a superior inspection of the abdomen with less injury to the tissues than classical laparotomy approach. In some conditions of acute abdomen, we needed combined laparoscopic and open surgery in term of laparoscopic-assisted laparotomy. In rare cases, the operation converted to open surgeries in difficult and non-progressed and long surgeries (when the risk of long anaesthesia and surgery become frank). In these situations, the incision for open surgery being guided by lap finding, the complications associated with laparoscopy are few and can be minimized further by using the mini-laparotomy technique. Diagnostic laparoscopy has the advantage of therapeutic intervention like the and. Appendectomy -lap adhesiolysis lap peptic perforation repair performed simultaneously with minimal need of investigation and high diagnostic and therapeutic accuracy. Diagnostic laparoscopy reduces overall hospital stay postoperative complications including pain early mobilization of the patients and early return to the work avoid big scars. Hence, minimize cost-effective in comparison to open approach, i.e. (classical open laparotomy). This study suggests the use of the laparoscopic approach in the management of acute abdomen.

REFERENCES

Benoit Navez. Laparoscopy in the acute abdomen. Clinical Gastroenterology. Volume 28, issue 1, page 3--15.2014.

Chung R.S., Chari v. Efficacy of routine laparoscopyfor the acute abdomen.7-2-2014.

- Golash V, Wilson P. Early laparoscopic as a routine procedure in the management of acute abdominal pain. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques. volume 19:7:882-885:2005
- Grundmann, R. T., Petersen, M., Lippert, H., & Meyer, F. (2010). The acute (surgical) abdomenepidemiology, diagnosis and general principles of management. Zeitschrift fur Gastroenterologie, 48(6), 696-706.
- Hagos M. Acute abdomen in adults: A two-year experience in Ethiopia. Ethiopia Med J. 2015: 53(1):19-24.
- Hinchey EJ, Sigman HH, Fried GM.Factors determining conversion to laparotomy in laparoscopic surgery. Am J Surg 167:35-41; 1994
- Kalkman CJ, Meissner W.Pain intensity study on the first day after surgery: a prospective cohort.Anesthesiology. 2013: 118(4): 934-44
- Mayum T. Practice Guidelines for management of Acute Abdomen 2015. J Hepatobiliary sci.
- Mentula A, Leppaniemi V. Laparoscopic versus open surgery for acute adhesive intestinal obstruction: Scandinavian J Surg .2017: 53(1):19-24
- Mirabella A. General and Emergency Surgery, 90146.Italy. 016:23(1):1-3.