



Biocompatibility of Dental Materials - A Review

Gokul G L¹, Lakshmi T^{*1}, Don K R²

¹Department of Pharmacology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai-77, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai-77, Tamil Nadu, India



Article History:

Received on: 10 Sep 2020

Revised on: 10 Oct 2020

Accepted on: 15 Oct 2020

Keywords:

Biocompatibility,
Dental Materials,
Amalgam,
Composite

ABSTRACT

Biocompatibility helps in guaranteeing the soundness of patients and experts. Issues identified with it very well may be connected to the lawful obligation of dental specialists. Information with respect to the biocompatibility of generally utilized dental materials is significant so to fundamentally pass judgment on promoting claims by the producer. Poisonousness of material upon a situation in a patient's oral cavity triggers the resistant framework to clarify a fitting reaction. Along these lines, one of the numerous key obligations presented on the dental specialist is to shield patients from any untoward response. A plenty of dental materials are as of now accessible in the market. The material needs to propel a few tests so as to be securely presented for clinical practice. This survey features the range of tests routinely rehearsed for assessment of biocompatibility.

*Corresponding Author

Name: Lakshmi T

Phone:

Email: lakshmi@saveetha.com

ISSN: 0975-7538

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11iSPL3.3487>

Production and Hosted by

IJRPS | <https://ijrps.com>

© 2020 | All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Biocompatibility is the investigation of connection of different materials guaranteeing the well being of patients and professionals which are connected to the lawful risk of dental specialists. Information in regards to biocompatibility of usually utilized dental materials is imperative to fundamentally pass judgment on promoting claims by the manufacturers (Sinha *et al.*, 2015). Biocompatibility portrays the capacity of a material to perform with a suitable

host reaction when applied as intended (Anusavice *et al.*, 2014). Biocompatibility was composed into 4 zones. They are the wellbeing of the patient, security of the dental staff, administrative consistency issues and legitimate risk. Most regularly utilized dental materials are amalgam, composite and polymeric materials, metallic materials, zinc phosphate concrete and glass ionomer concrete. In this survey, we will talk about this material. Clinical rules of dental materials drove a few specialists to the value of any test by utilization test in the dental biomaterial. Biocompatibility is the capacity of a material to evoke a fitting organic reaction in a given application (Wataha, 2001). Every Dentist decides the advantages that exceed the dangers and the patient viable. Specialized trials of dental materials improve after some time which help the professionals which settles on the choice at last, a philosophical one, which includes the manufacturer (Ashwini *et al.*, 2017).

Biocompatibility is " the capacity of a material to evoke a fitting natural reaction in a given applications" (Anusavice *et al.*, 2014). If it is inspected intently, this definition infers a collaboration among

a host, the material and the capacity of the three components must be in agreement before the material can be considered biocompatible (Lakshmi *et al.*, 2015).

Biocompatibility is the capacity of a material which evokes suitable organic reaction in the given application and association of materials among materials which do the normal capacity of the material (Hau-man and Love, 2003).

Biocompatibility is the capacity of any material to perform a reaction when applied. Biocompatibility helps in guaranteeing the soundness of patients and professionals normally utilized dental materials which are significant and basically judge promoting cases and manufacturers (Mahmood, 2018).

Before 4000 BC, the Etruscans created the extensions with fractional false teeth utilizing gold joined with creature removed human teeth (Rajeshku-mar *et al.*, 2018b). Autian proposed an organized methodology for assessing tissue reaction to dental materials comprising of three levels in particular vague poisonousness, explicit harmfulness and clinical testing in humans (Sinha *et al.*, 2015).

The accompanying arrangement tests for the development of dental materials are starting tests, cytotoxicity, mutagenicity, auxiliary tests, implan-tation tests, mucosal bothering and utilization tests (Anusavice *et al.*, 2014).

Methodology

Study setting of this was scoping review sampling, data collection was done in Pubmed, Google scholar and Chem Rxiv. Five-step process involved in the selection of articles. They are, Identification of clear objective, Identification of relevant article, selection of article, data extraction and charting and the final analysis and report. Recent, similar, relevant publications were taken into account for the collection of knowledge and to get a clear understanding of it. Inclusion criteria of articles are specific alternatives of materials, recent advances in the biocompatibility of dental materials and manufacturing companies of it. Exclusion criteria of articles are articles emphasizing only dental materials without alternatives.

Biocompatibility relevant to dentists

Biocompatibility of dental materials are connected with dental specialists potential, which are worries about biocompatibility into 4 areas (Sharma *et al.*, 2019). One of the essential worries of any dental experts is to abstain from hurting the patient with unfriendly responses in dental materials a lot higher than patients and are not normal in happen-ing numerous kinds of combinations and gum and cements (Parvez, 2018). Dental materials can influ-

ence the prosperity of patients of dental helpers and practitioners (Karthiga *et al.*, 2018).

Generally used dental materials

Amalgam

Biocompatibility of amalgam is a discussion for some years. The mercury which is utilized in the rebuilding will come out of the drain which may prompt consumption. Mercury is avail-able in amalgam responses, and it isn't effectively retained from the stomach related framework if swallowed (Rajeshkumar *et al.*, 2018a). Still, now, there is no verification or presence of poisonous-ness of mercury harmfulness in the dental amalgam to the patient (Uçar and Brantley, 2011). Amalgam can be either altered or just somewhat disturbing to the mash of the body tissues (Physical Properties of Dental Materials, 1942). Amalgam is a sealant made of little particles of silver, tin, copper aligned with mercury (Ezhilarasan *et al.*, 2017a).

Composite minerals

Biocompatibility of composite materials in peri-odontal tissues is apparent, which makes the improvement in oral wellbeing records utilized. Every reclamation of materials is one of a kind. The materials related components are quality, wear opposition, resistance to water dimensional sound-ness and shading stability (Goes *et al.*, 2010). Unpolymerized monomers can filter into spit and cause unfriendly reactions (Dunlop and William, 2011).

Metallic minerals

The biocompatibility of metallic materials is valu-able. The present metallic biomaterials are utilized. Issues related metal on metal wear are metallic iron draining disintegration and such other time subor-dinate corruption (Perumalsamy *et al.*, 2018). The surface of the metallic materials place a significant job in mechanical point of view of material debase-ment from an organic viewpoint of bone and embed reconciliation through the span of time (Bose and Bandyopadhyay, 2016). Research on Anti hyper-glycemic action, Caralluma fimbriata was done in our lab, and I decided to do an examination on dig-ital money which is identified with Biocompatibil-ity (Anitha and Ashwini, 2017).

Zinc phosphate

The Biocompatibility clarifies when the powder at the fluid blended in the proper proportion. They will exothermally respond with one another (Mehta *et al.*, 2019). The response of the item is undefined zinc phosphate hydrate which follows the unreacted zinc oxide powder installed. The result of non-

crystalline zinc phosphate salt is called the concrete matrix (Zhang, 2014).

Zinc oxide eugenol

The biocompatibility of zinc oxide eugenol is to impact a decent seal with appropriate control and clingy material is fundamentally adjusted with apical weight and may pull away from the edge. At the point when the edge blended to a high powder or fluid proportion is very durable (Seminario *et al.*, 2019). Eugenol from ZOE fixes cells, discourages cell breath and lessens nerve transmission with direct contact. ZOE may form a permanent seal against bacterial invasion (Ezhilarasan *et al.*, 2017b). It restrains the amalgamation of prostaglandin and leukotriene (hostile to inflammatory). In utilization tests: The reaction is somewhat moderate inside the main week and mellow in 5-8 weeks (Ezhilarasan *et al.*, 2017b).

Glass ionomer cement

Screening and use tests are required. Mash response to Glass Ionomer Cement saw as gentle. Feeble nature of polyacrylic corrosive can't diffuse through dentin. High atomic weight, different materials disturbance of the mash brought about by water powered weight carving during position of the restorations. A study was directed by Smith and Ruse to distinguish the instruments of potential affectability identified with glass ionomer use (Ezhilarasan, 2018). They estimated the pH of concretes following blending and presumed that the at first low pH may create synthetically aggravating conditions for the dental mash. The genuine pH relies critically upon control methods, for example, the blending proportion of parts. The pH of glass ionomer concretes stayed low during the primary hour in the wake of setting, taking note of contrasts between an assortment of business products (Menon *et al.*, 2018). In screening and use tests – mash response to GIC was seen as gentle. Frail nature of polyacrylic corrosive, incapable to diffuse through dentin in light of its high sub-atomic weight. Similarly as with different materials, disturbance of the mash might be brought about by water powered weight and drawing during situation of the restoration (Ezhilarasan *et al.*, 2018).

Choosing biocompatibility materials

Specialists scanned for open proof and unprejudiced analysts considered the dental needs with stylish want well being history of hazard resilience of their patients (Gheena and Ezhilarasan, 2019). The reason for biocompatibility assessment is clinical hazard appraisal which assesses potential dangers which is identified with material proper-

ties (Schmalz, 2014).

CONCLUSION

Every dental specialist will decide the advantages exceed the danger of the patients. To keep away from all hazards, deny the patient enormous advantages that materials expect an excess of hazard and may hurt the patient and put the specialists at legitimate hazard. The patient and experts basic to accomplish the veritable dental items which shields the patient from the trifling type of threat. To achieve this an amalgamation of multispectral tests are accessible ought to be utilized announcing any material sheltered and decreased screening length, creature screening and clinical use tests can be actualized and lawful concerns which make up for void made tough primer tests can be led. It is the obligation of every dental specialist to make the treatment arrangement in the wake of assessing whether the advantages of the material being utilized exceed the dangers for the patient viable.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this study.

Funding support

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

REFERENCES

- Anitha, R., Ashwini, S. 2017. Antihyperglycemic activity of *Caralluma fimbriata*: An In vitro approach. *Pharmacognosy Magazine*, 13(51):499.
- Anusavice, K. J., Shen, C., Rawls, H. R. 2014. Phillips' Science of Dental Materials - E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- Ashwini, S., Ezhilarasan, D., Anitha, R. 2017. Cytotoxic Effect of *Caralluma fimbriata* Against Human Colon Cancer Cells. *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 9(2):204-207.
- Bose, S., Bandyopadhyay, A. 2016. Materials and devices for bone disorders. Academic Press.
- Dunlop, William, R. 2011. QC (Scot.) 2010' (2011) Who's. Who.
- Ezhilarasan, D. 2018. Oxidative stress is bane in chronic liver diseases: Clinical and experimental perspective. *Arab Journal of Gastroenterology*, 19(2):56-64.
- Ezhilarasan, D., Lakshmi, T., Nagaich, U., Vijayaragan, R. 2017a. Acacia catechu ethanolic seed extract triggers apoptosis of SCC-25 cells. *Pharmacognosy Magazine*, 13(51):405.

- Ezhilarasan, D., Lakshmi, T., Vijayaragavan, R., Bhullar, S., Rajendran, R. 2017b. Acacia catechu ethanolic bark extract induces apoptosis in human oral squamous carcinoma cells. *Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical Technology and Research*, 8(4):143.
- Ezhilarasan, D., Sokal, E., Najimi, M. 2018. Hepatic fibrosis: It is time to go with hepatic stellate cell-specific therapeutic targets. *Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases International*, 17(3):192-197.
- Gheena, S., Ezhilarasan, D. 2019. Syringic acid triggers reactive oxygen species-mediated cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells. *Human and Experimental Toxicology*, 38(6):694-702.
- Goes, M. F. D., Caiado, A. C. R. L., Alves, M. C., Souza-Filho, F. 2010. Intraradicular marginal integrity of fiber posts relined and fixed with composite resin. *Dental Materials*, 26:e69-e70.
- Hauman, C. H. J., Love, R. M. 2003. Biocompatibility of dental materials used in contemporary endodontic therapy: a review. Part 1. Intracanal drugs and substances. *International Endodontic Journal*, 36(2):75-85.
- Karthiga, P., Rajeshkumar, S., Annadurai, G. 2018. Mechanism of Larvicidal Activity of Antimicrobial Silver Nanoparticles Synthesized Using Garcinia mangostana Bark Extract. *Journal of Cluster Science*, 29(6):1233-1241.
- Lakshmi, T., Krishnan, V., Rajendran, R., Madhusudhanan, N. 2015. Azadirachta indica : A herbal panacea in dentistry - An update. *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, 9(17):41.
- Mahmood, K. 2018. Significance of Early Neuro-rehabilitation among Stroke Patients. *Journal of National Institute of Neurosciences Bangladesh*, 4(1):1-2.
- Mehta, M., Deeksha, Tewari, D., Gupta, G., Awasthi, R., Singh, H. 2019. Oligonucleotide therapy: An emerging focus area for drug delivery in chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 308:206-215.
- Menon, S., Devi, K. S., Santhiya, R., Rajeshkumar, S., Kumar, S. V. 2018. Selenium nanoparticles: A potent chemotherapeutic agent and an elucidation of its mechanism. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 170:280-292.
- Parvez, K. 2018. Awareness About Dental Radiography Among Dental Students. *JPDA*, 27(03):147-152.
- Perumalsamy, H., Sankarapandian, K., Veerappan, K., Natarajan, S., Kandaswamy, N., Thangavelu, L., Balusamy, S. R. 2018. In silico and in vitro analysis of coumarin derivative induced anticancer effects by undergoing intrinsic pathway mediated apoptosis in human stomach cancer. *Phytomedicine*, 46:119-130.
- Physical Properties of Dental Materials 1942. Physical Properties of Dental Materials. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, pages 1349-1350.
- Rajeshkumar, S., Agarwal, H., Kumar, S. V., Lakshmi, T. 2018a. Brassica oleracea Mediated Synthesis of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles and its Antibacterial Activity against Pathogenic Bacteria. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, 30(12):2711-2715.
- Rajeshkumar, S., Kumar, S. V., Ramaiah, A., Agarwal, H., Lakshmi, T., Roopan, S. M. 2018b. Biosynthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using Mangifera indica leaves and evaluation of their antioxidant and cytotoxic properties in lung cancer (A549) cells. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 117:91-95.
- Schmalz, G. 2014. Strategies to Improve Biocompatibility of Dental Materials. *Current Oral Health Reports*, 1(4):222-231.
- Seminario, A. L., Garcia, M., Spiekerman, C., Rajanbabu, P., Donly, K. J., Harbert, P. 2019. Survival of Zirconia Crowns in Primary Maxillary Incisors at 12-, 24- and 36-Month Follow-Up. *Pediatric Dentistry*, (5):385-390.
- Sharma, P., Mehta, M., Dhanjal, D. S., Kaur, S., Gupta, G., Singh, H., Thangavelu, L., Rajeshkumar, S., Tambuwala, M., Bakshi, H. A., Chellappan, D. K., Dua, K., Satija, S. 2019. Emerging trends in the novel drug delivery approaches for the treatment of lung cancer. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 309:108720.
- Sinha, D. J., Sinha, A. A., Vasudeva, A., Jaiswal, N. 2015. Biocompatibility of Dental Materials: A Comprehensive Review. *Indian Journal of Contemporary Dentistry*, 3(2):1.
- Uçar, Y., Brantley, W. A. 2011. Biocompatibility of Dental Amalgams. *International Journal of Dentistry*, 2011:1-7.
- Wataha, J. C. 2001. Principles of biocompatibility for dental practitioners. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 86(2):203-209.
- Zhang, Y. 2014. Making yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia translucent. *Dental Materials*, 30(10):1195-1203.