

International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences

Published by JK Welfare & Pharmascope Foundation

Journal Home Page: www.ijrps.com

Effectiveness and Challenges of Tele-Dentistry and Factors Affecting It, During the Times of COVID-19 Health Emergency- A Perspective of Dental Professionals

Vaibhav Krishna Singh¹, Neha Sethi^{*2}, Saurabh Sinha³, Koshika Tandon Sinha⁴, Pankaj Malhotra⁵, Kumar Gaurav Chhabra⁶

- ¹Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Dental College Azamgarh, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh, India
- ²Department of Periodontics, Purvanchal Institute of Dental Science, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
- ³Department of Periodontology and implantology, Purvanchal Institute of Dental, Nursing and Paramedical Sciences, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
- ⁴Department of Prosthodontics, Crown and Bridge, Rama Dental College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
- ⁵Department of Periodontics, Maharana Pratap College of Dentistry and Research Centre, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India
- ⁶Department of Public Health Dentistry, Sharad Pawar Dental College and Hospital, Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences Sawangi, Wardha, Maharastra, India

Article History:

ABSTRACT



Received on: 18 Oct 2020 Revised on: 27 Nov 2020 Accepted on: 12 Dec 2020

Keywords:

COVID-19, dental, Challenges, Effectiveness, Tele-dentistry The present study was conducted to assess effectiveness and challenges of tele-dentistry and factors affecting it, during the times of COVID-19 health emergency among dental professionals. It was a cross-sectional questionnaire study conducted among dental professionals which was online. Mean age of Study participants were 32.3 ± 3 years. Majority of study participants major challenges to practice of Tele dentistry during Covid-19 Pandemic was lack of proper infrastructure {(189 (91.3%)}, negative attitude of Dental professionals {(93 (44.9%)}, According to {172 (83.1%)} dental professionals there are many challenges for practicing Tele-dentistry. Dental professionals reported that effectiveness of Tele dentistry during Covid-19 is good but all together challenges were large to practice. Tele dentistry in India due to which its effectiveness decreases and factors effecting its effectiveness barriers were age, average number of patients seen per month before lockdown, and Practice closed due to Covid-19 outbreak.

*Corresponding Author

Name: Neha Sethi Phone: 9417687229

Email: Neha.Sethi85@gmail.com

ISSN: 0975-7538

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11iSPL1.4200

Production and Hosted by

IJRPS | www.ijrps.com © 2020 | All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Tele-dentistry can be defined as the remote provision of dental care, advice, or treatment through the medium of information technology, rather than through direct personal contact with any patient(s) involved. Within the dental practice, teledentistry is used extensively in disciplines like preventive dentistry, orthodontics, endodontics, oral surgery, periodontal conditions, and detection of early dental caries, patient education, oral medicine, and diagnosis. Some of the key modes and methods used in teledentistry are electronic health records,

Table 1: Demographic detail of study participants (N=207)

| | Demographic Variables | (N) % |
|---------------------|--|------------|
| Age in years | 26-35 Years | 68 (32.9) |
| • | 36-45 Years | 88 (42.5) |
| | 46-55 Years | 37 (17.9) |
| | More than 55 Years | 14 (6.7) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Gender | Male | 128 (61.8) |
| | Female | 79 (38.2) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Marital Status | Married | 161 (77.8) |
| | Unmarried | 40 (19.3) |
| | Widow/Widower | 6 (2.9) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Education | BDS | 100 (48.3) |
| | MDS | 107 (51.7) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Specialty | Oral Medicine and Radiology | 06 (5.6) |
| • | Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery | 15 (14.0) |
| | Prosthodontics Crown & Bridge | 19 (17.8) |
| | Pedodontics | 05 (4.7) |
| | Periodontics & Implantology | 12 (11.2) |
| | Endodontics& Conservative Dentistry | 22 (20.6) |
| | Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics | 10 (9.3) |
| | Oral pathology | 9 (8.4) |
| | Public Health Dentistry | 9 (8.4) |
| | Total | 107 (100) |
| Years of experience | 1-5 years | 79 (38.2) |
| | 6-10 years | 56 (27.1) |
| | 11-15 years | 60 (29.0) |
| | More than 15 years | 12 (5.7) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |

electronic referral systems, digitizing images, teleconsultations, and telediagnosis. All the applications used in teledentistry aim to bring about efficiency, provide access to an underserved population, improve quality of care, and reduce oral disease burden (Khan and Omar, 2013).

Tele-dentistry is useful among all branches of Dentistry such as in Kopycka-Kedzierawski and colleagues conducted a series of studies addressing the role of teledentistry in the detection and diagnosis of early childhood caries through intraoral images Kopycka-Kedzierawski and Billings (2006) and their results suggested that teledentistry could be a potentially efficient means of screening school children for signs of early childhood caries (Kopycka-Kedzierawski *et al.*, 2007). The use of teledentistry in oral medicine and diag-

nosis was assessed through a study conducted in Belfast, Kopycka-Kedzierawski *et al.* (2008); Kopycka-Kedzierawski and Billings (2011) Northern Ireland, where the authors used a prototype teledentistry system as part of a service improvement scheme and found that teledentistry may represent an alternative approach to manage referrals in oral medicine (Bradley *et al.*, 2010).

Teledentistry offers a wide variety of clinical applications ranging from patients' records management, diagnosis, and clinical decisionmaking. Teledentistry has the potential to provide underserved patients with oral healthcare services and for that, we have to be prepared (Nimbulkar *et al.*, 2020). In addition to clinical applications, teledentistry can be a beneficial tool to decrease the disparity because it is quarantine (Patel *et al.*, 2020). In spite of the large

Table 2: OPD details of study participants(n=207)

| OPD d | (n) % | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--|
| Average number of patients seen per | 1-20 | 45 (21.7) | |
| month before lockdown | 21-40 | 134 (64.7) | |
| | More than 40 | 28 (13.6) | |
| | Total | 207 (100) | |
| Nature of practice | Private sector | 100 (48.3) | |
| | Government sector | 49(23.7) | |
| | Academic sector | 8(3.9) | |
| | More than one type of sector | 50(24.1) | |
| | Total | 207 (100) | |
| Type of practice | Solo | 91 (44.0) | |
| | Group | 22 (10.6) | |
| | Hospital | 45 (21.7) | |
| | More than one type of practice | 49 (23.7) | |
| | Total | 207 (100) | |
| Location of Practicing field | Urban | 94(45.4) | |
| | Peri-urban | 48 (23.2) | |
| | Rural | 21 (10.1) | |
| | More than one type of location | 44 (21.3) | |
| | Total | 207 (100) | |
| Practice closed due to Covid-19 | Yes | 95 (45.9) | |
| outbreak. | No | 8 (3.9) | |
| | Only emergency patients | 104 (50.2) | |
| | Total | 207 (100) | |
| Average OPD during lockdown and | 0-5 | 87 (42.0) | |
| unlock phase. | 6-10 | 82 (39.6) | |
| | 11-15 | 30 (14.5) | |
| | More than 15 | 8 (3.9) | |
| | Total | 207 (100) | |

number of disciplines that can benefit from teledentistry and the wide range of applications, limitations to the use of information communication and technology still exist and ensure equality in the provision of oral healthcare services and moreover, various therapeutic options also have to be thought of which can be provided through teledentistry means (Reche et al., 2020). The technology used in teledentistry enables the fast transfer of images, files, and documents and provides access to these information for specialists and practitioners (Khan and Omar, 2013).

During the times of Covid-19 pandemic has created unique challenges in ensuring healthcare. Nevertheless, the possibility of using telehealth systems and methodologies in dentistry, defined as teledentistry, could improve the quality and efficiency of dental health care.

Besides many advantages of Teledentistry, there are

many challenges which decrease the effectiveness of Tele-dentistry in developing countries like India during Covid-19 emergency. There are studies in past which had assessed the barriers but factors affecting them has not been researched, which very important as manipulation of significant factors may increase the effectiveness and decrease the barriers of Teledentistry. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to assess this effectiveness and challenges of teledentistry and factors affecting it, during the times of covid-19 health emergency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Ajmer city, Rajasthan. All the dental professionals of Ajmer city was the sampling frame. Those dental professionals running their clinics were included in the study. The link was sent to all through the IDA group on WhatsApp and all were sent personal links. Informed consent was

Table 3: Perspective of Dental professionals towards effectiveness of Teledentistry during Covid-19 Pandemic

| Effectiveness of Tele- | N (%) | |
|--|---------------------------|------------|
| Q1. What are the different ways you are | Tele-consultation | 114 (55.1) |
| consulting the patient with an oral problem? | Consultation face to face | 54 (26.1) |
| | Referral | 39 (18.8) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q2. In this period of Covid-19 pandemic how | Very much effective | 55 (26.6) |
| much effective is teledentistry in clinical | less effective | 115 (55.5) |
| treatment of dental diseases | Not effective | 37 (17.9) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q3. In this period of Covid-19 pandemic how | Very much effective | 109 (52.6) |
| much effective is teledentistry in prevention of | less effective | 71 (34.3) |
| dental diseases | Not effective | 27 (13.1) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q4. Tele dentistry is not much effective in | Completely Agree | 69 (33.3) |
| clinical dentistry and prescribing drugs only | Partially Agree | 81 (39.1) |
| will leads to recurrence. | Partially Disagree | 31 (15.2) |
| | Completely Disagree | 26 (12.4) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q5. In which part of dentistry, Teledentistry is | Diagnosis | 25 (12.1) |
| most effective during Covid-19 pandemic? | Treatment | 6 (2.9) |
| | Education | 22 (10.6) |
| | Monitoring | 21 (10.1) |
| | More than one part | 129 (62.3) |
| | Equally effective in all | 4(2) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q6. Tele dentistry is effective in reducing cost | Agree | 78 (37.7) |
| and saving time for dental professionals in the | Disagree | 80 (38.6) |
| times of Covid-19 pandemic? | Can't say | 49 (23.7) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q7. Tele dentistry is mainly effective in urban | Agree | 156 (75.4) |
| areas than in rural areas | Disagree | 29 (14.0) |
| | Can't say | 22 (10.6) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q8. Tele dentistry is not very effective in | Agree | 109 (52.6) |
| normal times that are before and after the | Disagree | 58 (28.0) |
| pandemic. | Can't say | 40 (19.4) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |

availed, and ethical approval was availed, from an independent ethical committee.

Before the start of the main study, a pilot study was conducted on 10% of total samples, to test the validity and reliability of the questionnaire. Internal consistency and reliability of questionnaires were measured by applying Cronbach's-Alpha (α) and Test-Retest. The value obtained was α =0.84, Kappa (k) =0.76 Weighted Kappa (k $_w$) = 0.80. Those questions with less validity and reliability were removed. Fea-

sibility of the study was also checked.

To increase the response rate, callbacks and link were sent 3-4 times to each study participants. To assess the perspective of Dental professionals, a questionnaire was prepared which consists of 4 parts, first part consists of demographic details of study participants, 2^{nd} part consists of OPD details of study participant and 3^{rd} and 4^{th} parts consists of questions includes effectiveness and barriers of Tele-Dentistry during the times of Covid-19 Health

Table 4: Perspective of Dental professionals towards challenges to Tele dentistry during Covid-19 Pandemic

| Challenges of Tele-dentistry | | N (%) |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Q1. Tele dentistry is a challenging task to practice in rural | Agree | 189 (91.3) |
| area due to lack of proper infrastructure. | Disagree | 11 (5.3) |
| | Can't say | 7 (3.4) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q2. In India, the negative attitude of Dental professionals | Agree | 93 (44.9) |
| towards Teledentistry is a challenge to its use. | Disagree | 80 (38.6) |
| | Can't say | 34 (16.5) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q3. In India, fear of fraud in the online transfer of money by | Agree | 132 (63.8) |
| the patient is a big challenge in the practice of Teledentistry | Disagree | 21 (18.8) |
| | Can't say | 54 (17.4) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q4. Illiteracy of patient in use of the internet for | Agree | 145 (70.0) |
| Teleconsultation is Challenge in the practice of | Disagree | 39 (39.1) |
| Teledentistry. | Can't say | 23 (15.2) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q5. Lack of clinical treatment to the patient is a big | Agree | 57 (27.5) |
| challenge to the practice of teledentistry | Disagree | 28 (13.6) |
| | Can't say | 122 (58.9) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q6. In India, the traditional system of state-by-state | Agree | 63 (30.4) |
| licensing is a major challenge to the practice of | Disagree | 45 (21.7) |
| teledentistry. | Can't say | 100 (48.9) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q7. Limited knowledge about the software and instrument | Agree | 135 (65.2) |
| needed to practice Tele dentistry among Dental | Disagree | 49 (23.7) |
| professionals is a challenge. | Can't say | 23 (11.1) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q8. Lack of acceptance to Tele dentistry among dentists is | Agree | 82 (39.6) |
| also among the challenges. | Disagree | 89 (43.0) |
| | Can't say | 36 (17.4) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Q9. In India, to practice Tele dentistry challenges are more | Agree | 98 (47.3) |
| than its effectiveness | Disagree | 68 (32.9) |
| | Can't say | 41 (19.8) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |

emergency.

nificance was kept at 5%.

Statistical Analysis

Details about Demographic variable and OPD details and perspective towards effectiveness and challenges among dental professionals were assessed by using Statistical analysis and results were expressed in number and percentages. Analysis of Covariance was applied to assess the factors effecting the effectiveness and barriers of Teledentistry. Level of sig-

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that majority of study subjects $\{88 (42.5\%)\}$ were belonged to the age group of 36-45 years. Male study subjects $\{128(61.8\%)\}$ were more than females. Years of experience of most of the Dental professionals $\{79 (38.2\%)\}$ was 1-5 years. Dental professionals with master's degree $\{107 (51.7\%)\}$

| | Effectiveness and challenges scale | N (%) |
|---------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| Effectiveness | High | 48 (23.2) |
| | limited | 123 (59.4) |
| | Low | 36 (17.4) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |
| Challenges | Many | 172 (83.1) |
| | Some | 35 (16.9) |
| | No challenges | 0 (0.0) |
| | Total | 207 (100) |

Table 5: Perspective of Dental professionals towards the effectiveness and challenges of practicing Tele-dentistry

was more than with a bachelor's degree. Mean age of Study participants were 32.3 ± 3 years. Response rate of the present study was 85%.

Table 2 shows that Average number of patients seen per month before lockdown by 134 (64.7%) study participants was 21-40. Clinic of most of the study subjects {94(45.4%)} was Located in urban areas. Average OPD during lockdown and unlock phase was from 0-5 patients among {(87 (42.0%)}.

Table 3 shows that during Covid-19 Pandemic about 114 (55.1%) of Dental professionals had consulted the patients through Tele-consultation. According to 115 (55.5%) of study participants teledentistry less effective in clinical treatment and very much effective {109 (52.6%)} in the prevention of dental diseases. About 81 (39.1%) of study participants partially agree with the statement that Teledentistry is not much effective in clinical dentistry and prescribing drugs only will leads to recurrence.

Table 4 shows that according to the majority of study participants major challenges to practice of Teledentistry during Covid-19 Pandemic was lack of proper infrastructure {(189 (91.3%)}, negative attitude of Dental professionals {(93 (44.9%)}, fear of fraud in the online transfer of money {(132 (63.8%)}, Illiteracy of the patient in use of internet {(145 (70.0%)}, Limited knowledge about the software and instrument {(135 (65.2%)}, Lack of acceptance to Tele dentistry among dentists {82 (39.6%)}. In India, according to 98 (47.3), dental professionals to practice Tele dentistry challenges are more than its effectiveness.

Table 5 shows that according to majority of dental professionals {123 (59.4%)} think that effectiveness of Tele-dentistry in India is limited, according to {172 (83.1%)} dental professionals there are many challenges for practicing Tele-dentistry.

Tables 6 and 7 shows the impact of factors on effectiveness and barriers to teledentistry, it was

reported that Factors which has a significant impact on the effectiveness of teledentistry was age (p=0.00), Average number of patients seen per month before lockdown (p=0.00), Years of experience (p=0.03*), Practice closed due to Covid-19 outbreak (p=0.00). While the factors effecting challenges were education (p=0.00), Average OPD during lockdown and unlock phase. (p=0.05).

DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted to assess the effectiveness and challenges of teledentistry during the times of covid-19 health emergency. Not many studies conducted in the past on this topic, so this research was conducted.

Till now a lot of articles have reported the advantages, applications, usefulness of practicing Tele dentistry Nutalapati *et al.* (2011) during Covid-19 pandemic which has provided a deep insight into this topic which really helped dental professionals, but in a country like India where ignorance about dental treatment was widespread and people were fearful in dental treatment during normal times and after warning of WHO about the dental treatment during Covid-19 pandemic, it was very difficult for dental professionals to provide clinical treatment to patients.

In the present study, the meaning of teledentistry was consultation about dental diseases on the mobile phone between patient and dentists. As the knowledge of Dental professionals was close to nil about the infrastructure needed in teledentistry, the scope of teledentistry is not very bright in India.

In the present study, the majority of study subjects belonged to the age group of 36-45 Years. In contrast to this in a study by Pradhan *et al.* (2019) majority of study, participants were of 20-30 years of age group. This may be due to the fact that in the present study, only dental professionals with age group more than

Table 6: Shows the impact of various factor on effectiveness and Challenges of Tele-Dentistry

| | | | | | Challenges | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | High | Limited | Low | Many | Some | No chal- lenges |
| Covariates | b | b | b | b | b | b |
| | 95 % CI | 95 % CI | 95 % CI | 95 % CI | 95 % CI | 95 % CI |
| Age in years | | | | | | |
| 26-35 Years | 4.11 | 10.82 | -18.9 | -4.99 | 11.6 | -1.46 |
| | (10.38, | (17.06, | (-29.0, | (-10.32, | (15.2, 7.89) | (-10.8, |
| | 0.89) | 6.81) | 1.24) | 6.79) | | 3.44) |
| 36-45 Years | 7.90 | -0.09 | 3.78 | 1.84 | -2.56 | 3.66 |
| | (20.11, 2.61) | (-4.13, 4.71) | (2.39, 8.90) | (0.33, 6.99) | (-4.2, 14.1) | (5.6, 19.8) |
| 46-55 Years | -13.01 | 7.6 | -2.4 | -8.9 | 13.4 | 4.88 |
| | (21.29, 0.02) | (0.04, 11.4) | (-9.9, 8.36) | (-12.0,8.9) | (21.90, 6.77) | (12.0, 21.9) |
| More than 55 Years | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference | Reference |
| p-value | 0.00** | 0.30 | 0.22 | 1.90 | 0.89 | 0.20 |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| | -0.23 | -0.42 | -0. 09 | 0.01 | -0.04 | -0.35 |
| | (-1.31, 6.23) | (-3.44, 11.23) | (-0.65, 1.27) | (0.71, 1.45) | (-0.54, 1.69) | (-41, 1.19) |
| Female | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference | Reference |
| p-value | 0.32 | 2.30 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.05* | 0.98 |
| Education | | | | | | |
| | - 0.9 | 0.78 | -11.6 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| | (-1.2, 3.0) | (-15.6, 18.9) | (-24.5, 1.3) | (-4.8, 11.4) | (-6.9, 9.5) | (10.2, 12.3) |
| BDS | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference | Reference |
| p-value | 3.57 | 2.91 | 1.02 | 0.00** | 3.09 | 1.87 |
| Years of experience | | | | | | |
| 1-5 years | 0.5 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| | (0.3, 0.7) | (0.8, 1.6) | (0.4, 1.1) | (0.3, 0.7) | (0.8, 1.6) | (0.9, 1.6) |
| 6-10 years | 1.0 | -0.9 | -11.6 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| | (7.3, 9.3) | (-18.0, 16.2) | (-24.5, 1.3) | (-4.8, 11.4) | (-6.9, 9.5) | (11.0, 11.7) |
| 11-15 years | 1.22 | 2.88 | -3.90 | 6.93 | 1.45 | 11.89 |
| | (-2.89, 1.09) | (1.90, 4.26 | (-5.87, 7.89) | (0.56, 9.94) | (-2.11, 3.50) | (17/01, 5.88) |
| More than 15 years | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference |
| p-value | 0.08 | 1.23 | 0.03* | 1.92 | 0.11 | 0.35 |

 $p{\le}0.05*$

Table 7: Shows the impact of various factor on effectiveness and Challenges of Tele-Dentistry (Continued From Table 6)

| | | Effectiveness | | | Challenges | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | High | Limited | Low | Many | Some | No chal- lenges |
| Covariates | b 95 % CI | b 95 % CI | b 95 % CI | b 95 % CI | b 95 % CI | b 95 % CI |
| Average number of p | atients seen p | er month befo | re lockdown | | | |
| 1-20 | 1.8(-3.5, 5.6) | 0.97 (-1.8, 6.8) | 4.6 (6.8, - 3.7) | 1.4 (6.8, - 0.87) | 3.9 (12.3, 1.5) | 7.8(19.2,1.4) |
| 21-40 | 3.9(-5.3, 13.2) | 2.8 (-16.4, 22.0) | 1.9 (-12.6, 16.3) | -0.1 (- 9.2, 8.9) | 4.9(- 4.2,14.1) | 7.1(-5.6, 19.8) |
| More than 40 | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference |
| p-value | 0.00* | 1.47 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 2.33 |
| Practice closed due t | o Covid-19 out | break | | | | |
| Yes | 2.56(-4.77, 8.91) | 4.10(0.12, 9.03) | 13.48(5.77, 18.92) | 5.02(0.15, 9.17) | 4.01(-0.24, 6.77) | 9.03(15.11, 2.19) |
| No | 4.83(0.14, 10.47) | 1.09(-1.53, 4.71) | 0.02(-7.22, 6.31) | 1.90(- 0.88, 7.91) | -2.71(- 6.21, 3.88) | -0.99(- 10.32, 2.84) |
| Only emer- gency patients | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference |
| p-value | 0.00* | 2.33 | 1.75 | 2.31 | 0.07 | 1.43 |
| Average OPD during | lockdown and | unlock phase | | | | |
| 0-5 | 4.89(1.27, 9.32) | 5.67(13.09, 0.22) | 11.65(4.01, 18.23) | -16.34(- 21.44,- 10.28) | 5.38(0.38, 10.27) | 6.83(9.01, 0.87) |
| 6-10 | 2.67(-3.44, 6.79) | 1.08(-4.77, 7.81) | 5.77(0.89, 10.56) | 2.81(0.11, 6.91) | 1.89(-0.47, 6.70) | 7.11(1.28, 8.90) |
| 11-15 | 1.03(-2.82, 4.77) | 7.89(0.33, 14.62) | 1.79(-0.98, 7.02) | 7.29(2.45, 16.01) | 4.68(0.03, 7.84) | 5.89(1.29, 10.63) |
| More than 15 | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference | reference |
| p-value | 0.43 | 1.66 | 4.88 | 2.19 | 0.05* | 0.12 |

 $p \le 0.05*$

25 years were included while in a study by Pradhan *et al.* (2019) postgraduate students were also included. In the present study males were more than females; the same results were shown in a study by Pradhan *et al.* (2019).

In the present study, majority of dental professionals were agreed with the statement that Teledentistry is a valuable tool in the prevention of diseases; same results were seen in the study by Aboalshamat (2020); Murererehe *et al.* (2017).

In the present study majority of dental professionals does not agree with the statement that Teledentistry is effective reducing cost and saving time for dental professionals in the times of Covid-19 pandemic. Contrary results were seen in the study by Aboalshamat (2020); Murererehe *et al.* (2017).

In the present study, major challenges to practice teledentistry in India during Covid-19 pandemic was lack of proper infrastructure, negative attitude of Dental professionals, fear of fraud in the online transfer of money, Illiteracy of patient in use of the internet, the traditional system of state-by-state licensing, Limited knowledge about the software and instrument, Lack of acceptance. In the study by Pradhan *et al.* (2019), major challenges in teledentistry are illiterates, population below the poverty line, and lack of infrastructure in India. In

a study by Aboalshamat (2020), major challenges were lack of patient compliance, violation of patient privacy, Low level of population education, Lack of current infrastructure. Still, no study has been reported, which explores factor which has a significant impact on effectiveness and barriers of Teledentistry, so dentists have to be prepared and learn teledentistry uses and other means by which the society can be benefitted in terms of appropriate oral healthcare (Singh *et al.*, 2020).

CONCLUSION

From above it was concluded that majority of dental professionals reported that effectiveness of Teledentistryduring Covid-19 is good, but altogether there were a large number of challenges to practice Teledentistry in India due to which its effectiveness decreases. Factors affecting its effectiveness barriers were age, an average number of patients seen per month before lockdown, Years of experience, Location of Practicing field, Practice closed due to Covid-19 outbreak, education, Nature of practice, Average OPD during the lockdown and unlock phase.

Funding Support

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this study.

REFERENCES

- Aboalshamat, K. 2020. Awareness of, beliefs about, practices of, and barriers to teledentistry among dental students and the implications for Saudi Arabia Vision 2030 and coronavirus pandemic. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry*, 10(4):431–431.
- Bradley, M., Black, P., Noble, S., Thompson, R., Lamey, P. J. 2010. Application of teledentistry in oral medicine in a Community Dental Service, N. Ireland. *British Dental Journal*, 209(8):399–404.
- Khan, S. A., Omar, H. 2013. Teledentistry in Practice: Literature Review. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 19:565–567.
- Kopycka-Kedzierawski, D. T., Bell, C. H., Billings, R. J. 2008. Prevalence of dental caries in Early Head Start children as diagnosed using teledentistry. *Pediatric Dentistry*, 30(4):329–333.
- Kopycka-Kedzierawski, D. T., Billings, R. J. 2006. Teledentistry in inner-city child-care centres. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 12(4):176–181.

- Kopycka-Kedzierawski, D. T., Billings, R. J. 2011. Prevalence of dental caries and dental care utilisation in preschool urban children enrolled in a comparative-effectiveness study. *European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry*, 12(3):133–138.
- Kopycka-Kedzierawski, D. T., Billings, R. J., Mcconnochie, K. M. 2007. Dental screening of preschool children using teledentistry: a feasibility study. *Pediatric Dentistry*, 29(3):209–213.
- Murererehe, J., Uwambaye, P., Isyagi, M., Nyandwi, T., Njunwa, K. 2017. Knowledge, attitude and practices of dental professionals in Rwanda towards the benefits and applications of teledentistry. *Rwanda Journal*, 4(1):39–39.
- Nimbulkar, G., Dubey, N., Mandwar, S., Dharmapuria, S., Reche, A., Chhabra, K. G. 2020. Dental Practice Guidelines in the Precariousness of COVID-19: A Review. *International Journal of Current Research and Review*, 12(19):82–87.
- Nutalapati, R., Boyapati, R., Jampani, N. D., Dontula, B. S. K. 2011. Applications of teledentistry: A literature review and update. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry*, 1(2):37–37.
- Patel, S., Patel, A., Fulzele, P., Mohod, S., Chhabra, K. 2020. Quarantine an effective mode for control of the spread of COVID19? A review. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 9(8):3867–3867.
- Pradhan, D., Verma, P., Sharma, L., Khaitan, T. 2019. Knowledge, awareness, and attitude regarding teledentistry among postgraduate dental students of Kanpur city, India: A questionnaire study. *J Educ Health Promot*, 8(1):1–5.
- Reche, A., Kolse, R., Gupta, S., Ingle, A., Chhabra, K. G. 2020. Therapeutic options for Covid 19: Pandemic A Review. *International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 11(SPL1):420–424.
- Singh, K. T., Mishra, G., Shukla, A. K., Behera, S., Tiwari, A. K., Panigrahi, S., Chhabra, K. G. 2020. Preparedness among dental professionals towards COVID-19 in India. *Pan African Medical Journal*, 36:1–7.