**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Published by JK Welfare & Pharmascope Foundation

Journal Home Page: <u>www.ijrps.com</u>

# Antibacterial efficacy of commercially available activated carbon tooth powder: An *in vitro* study

Padmaja Vangipuram, Ravishankar P L, Prem Blaisie Rajula M<sup>\*</sup>, Rajarajeswari S, Saravanan A V, Jasmine Vaidya

Department of Periodontics, SRM Kattankulathur Dental College, SRM Institute of Science & Technology, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur, Kanchipuram, Chennai - 603203, Tamil Nadu, India

Article History:	ABSTRACT Check for updates
Received on: 24 Sep 2020 Revised on: 26 Oct 2020 Accepted on: 31 Oct 2020 <i>Keywords:</i>	There has been an indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobials in the pre- vious decades, leading to emergence of multidrug resistant bacteria. This has become a frequent occurrence, so natural antimicrobial agents have grabbed attention of researchers as potential alternatives. Of particular interest is
activated carbon, aggressive periodontitis, local anti-infective agents, natural products	cow dung which has been shown to have antibacterial and antiseptic prop- erties. It is known to be used predominantly in rural areas. This paper aims to evaluate the antibacterial activity of <i>Goshala</i> Activated Carbon tooth- powder against three strains of periodontopathogennic and cariogenic bac- teria. Standardized strains of <i>Streptococcus mutans, Aggregatibacter actino-</i> <i>mycetemcomitans</i> and <i>Porphyromonas gingivalis</i> were cultured in BHI (Brain Heart Infusion Broth) media and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Activated Carbon tooth powder was assessed by serial dilution method. Like- wise, Amoxicillin, Metronidazole and Chlorhexidine were tested against the same pathogens. <i>Porphyromonas gingivalis, Streptococcus mutans</i> and <i>Aggre-</i> <i>gatibacter actinomycetemcomitans</i> were shown to be sensitive at an MIC of 50mg/ml, 25mg/ml and 0.8mg/ml for activated carbon toothpowder respec- tively. Given some limitations of this study, we can conclude that activated car- bon powder presents a ray of hope in developing a targeted agent for aggres- sive periodontitis patients.

# \*Corresponding Author

Name: Prem Blaisie Rajula M Phone: +917358091129 Email: premblaisierajula@gmail.com

ISSN: 0975-7538

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11i4.3611</u>

Production and Hosted by

IJRPS | www.ijrps.com

© 2020 | All rights reserved.

# INTRODUCTION

Periodontal diseases and dental caries are two of the most prevalent globally prevalent diseases of the oral cavity affecting a large segment of the population. Periodontitis and caries are infectious diseases of the oral cavity in which oral biofilms play a causative role. The presence of microorganisms in the oral cavity, their virulence and the host response decide the occurrence of a particular disease. Periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory disease of the tooth supporting structures resulting in alveolar bone and connective tissue loss and occurs due to a combination of various host, environmental and bacterial factors (Zambon, 1996). The phenomenon of initiation and progression of periodontal diseases are closely related to the presence of pathogenic bacteria in the subgingival biofilm.

The biofilm on tooth surfaces is also associated with dental caries. Dental caries is a multifactorial microbial infectious disease which is characterized by demineralization of the inorganic and destruction of the organic substance of the tooth. (Loesche, 1986) Host factors, substrates, microbes and time are the factors involved in the etiology of caries as represented by the Keye's ring. There are more than 700 different bacterial species which colonize the oral cavity, but only a few of these are thought to be potential periodontal pathogens (Aas *et al.*, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, 2005). Porphyromonas gingivalis and Tannerella forsythia are known as the major pathogens of periodontal disease. Successful treatment of periodontal disease is associated with ensuring removal of and reducing these microorganisms (Holt and Ebersole, 2000). Likewise, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus mutans and Actinomyces are associated with dental caries.

For the past few decades, periodontitis has been treated by the removal of plaque biofilm and calculus from both the supragingival and subgingival surfaces by scaling and root planing. This modality aims at removing the whole of the biofilm rather than eliminating specific periopathogens (Drisko, 2014). Mechanical plaque control is also the primary modality in prevention of dental caries. Some patients cannot make effective use of mechanical plaque control and such patients could explore additional benefits from chemotherapeutic antiplaque agents (Haffajee et al., 1995). One group of chemical plaque agents, the antiplaque agents can decrease the rate of new plaque accumulation, decrease or remove existing plaque, suppress the growth of pathogenic microflora, or inhibit the production of virulence factors (Patil et al., 2016).

There has been an indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobials in the previous decades, leading to emergence of multidrug resistant bacteria. This has become a frequent occurrence, so natural antimicrobial agents have grabbed attention of researchers as potential alternatives (Siddiqui, 1993). Now, herbal products have flooded the market even for oral health care. There are herbal toothpastes, activated charcoal toothpowders, essential oil infused oil-pulling melts and wooden toothbrushes with natural bristles. Folkloric medicine is a branch of medicine dealing with the traditional knowledge that developed over centuries which has been used for treatment of illnesses long before modern medicine. Traditional healers have used different parts of plants, natural stones, animal fat, oil and wastes in treatment of different diseases with great success. Meswak stick, babool stick, neem stick as toothbrushes and brick powder, ash and dried burnt cow dung powder as dentifrices have been in use since time immemorial (Ahmad et al., 2006).

Of particular interest is cow dung which has been shown to have antibacterial and antiseptic properties (Waziri and Suleiman, 2012). Cow dung as a slurry is spread all over the earthy floor inside and outside the house. This is known to keep pests away, lower the ambient temperature and provide insulation as well. It is also used as fuel in wooden fires. Dried burnt cow dung is used as a toothpowder. Herbal and natural products, therefore, need to be tested for their effectiveness and safety and researched upon further.

Thus, this *in-vitro* study was carried out to determine the efficacy of a commercially available activated carbon toothpowder against known oral pathogens as aim.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study employed an *in vitro* experimental design. Ethical clearance to conduct the study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of SRM Kattankulathur Dental College and Hospital, Potheri (Ref No:1507/IEC/2018). Three standardized strains of well-known pathogenic bacteria were obtained from Department of Microbiology, SRM Kattankulathur Medical College and Hospital, Potheri. Streptococcus mutans, Porphyromonas gingivalis and Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans were used in this study. Based on Socransky's groundbreaking research, one bacterium each from the yellow, red and green complex were selected which were positively implicated as etiologic agents of various oral pathologic conditions (Socransky and Haffajee, 1992). Namely, Streptococcus mutans in dental caries, Porphyromonas gingivalis in chronic periodontitis and Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans in localized aggressive periodontitis.

The main material of interest is  $Goshala^{TM}$  Active carbon toothpowder (Mfd by Gaunaturals, Machilipatnam, Andhra Pradesh) which is commercially available (Figure 1). Each 15g of this toothpowder is primarily composed of *Gomaya Bhasma* (12g). Other additives like camphor 1.4g (*karpooram*), sea salt 1.2g (*saindhava lavana*) and carom seeds 1.2g (*ajwain*) are present. Amoxicillin, Metronidazole and Chlorhexidine were used as positive controls.

Serial dilution was done for each drug from 100mg/ml up to 0.2mg/ml. Nine dilutions of the drug were done with Brain Heart Infusion broth for Minimum inhibitory concentration. In the initial tube 20  $\mu$ l of drug was added to 380  $\mu$ l of BHI broth. 20  $\mu$ l of BHI broth was added into next nine tubes. From the initial tube 200  $\mu$ l was transferred to the next one giving us 2-1 dilution. This serial

Sample concentra-	100	50	25	12.5	6.25	3.12	1.6	0.8	0.4	0.2
tion in mg/ml										
Amoxicillin	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	R
Metronidazole	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R
Chlorhexidine	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R
Goshala	S	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

#### Table 1: Effect of Various Antibacterial agents against Porphyromonas Gingivalis

*Porphyromonas gingivalis* is susceptible to Amoxicillin at 0.8mg/ml, Metronidazole at 0.4mg/ml, Chlorhexidine at 0.4mg/ml and *Goshala*<sup>TM</sup> active carbon toothpowder at 50mg/ml. S = Sensitive and R = Resistant

<b>Table 2: Effect of various Antibacteria</b>	l agents against <i>Streptococcus Mutans</i>

6.25	3.12	1.6	0.8	0.4	0.2
S	S	S	S	S	S
S	R	R	R	R	R
S	S	S	R	R	R
R	R	R	R	R	R
	S S S	S S S S S S R	S S S S S S S R R	S S S S S S R R R	S S S S S S S R R R R

*Streptococcus mutans* is susceptible to Amoxicillin at 0.2mg/ml, Metronidazole at 6.25mg/ml, Chlorhexidine at 1.6mg/ml and *Goshala*<sup>TM</sup> at 25mg/ml. S = Sensitive and R = Resistant

#### Table 3: Effect of various antibacterial agents against Aggregatibacter Actinomycetemcomitans

Sample concentra- tion in mg/ml	100	50	25	12.5	6.25	3.12	1.6	0.8	0.4	0.2
Amoxicillin	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	R
Metronidazole	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	R
Chlorhexidine	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	R	R
Goshala	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	R

Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans is susceptible to Amoxicillin at 0.8mg/ml, Metronidazole at 0.8mg/ml, Chlorhexidine at 1.6mg/ml and  $Goshala^{TM}$  active carbon toothpowder at 0.8mg/ml. S =Sensitive and R = Resistant



Figure 1: *Goshala*<sup>™</sup> active carbon tooth powder



Figure 2: Serial dilution of the antibacterial agent with bacterial culture Concentrations from 0.2mg/ml to 100mg/ml are cultured with bacterial isolate and observed for turbidity

dilution was repeated for each microorganism. From the maintained stock cultures of the required organisms, five  $\mu$ l was taken and added into two ml of BHI broth. In each tube of serially diluted drug, 200  $\mu$ l of culture suspension was added (Figure 2).

Facultative anaerobes were incubated at 37°C for 48-72 hours in  $CO_2$  jar. Obligate anaerobes were incubated in anaerobic jars for 48-72 hours. Tubes were incubated for 24 hours and observed for turbidity (Wheat, 2001; King, 2002). The culture was adjusted with broth to give a turbidity equivalent to the McFarland 0.5 standard. This was done by photometrical analysis by determining  $OD_{450}$  (Escmid, 2000; Schwalbe *et al.*, 2007).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Bacterial inhibition displayed by  $Goshala^{TM}$  toothpowder (at different concentrations) and controls against *P. gingivalis, S. mutans* and *A. actinomycetemcomitans,* are depicted in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Porphyromonas gingivalis is susceptible to Amoxicillin at 0.8mg/ml, Metronidazole at 0.4mg/ml, Chlorhexidine at 0.4mg/ml and Goshala<sup>TM</sup> active carbon toothpowder at 50mg/ml (Table 1). Streptococcus mutans is susceptible to Amoxicillin at 0.2mg/ml, Metronidazole at 6.25mg/ml, Chlorhexidine at 1.6mg/ml and Goshala<sup>TM</sup> at 25mg/ml (Table 2). Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans is susceptible to Amoxicillin at 0.8mg/ml, Metronidazole at 0.8mg/ml, Chlorhexidine at 1.6mg/ml and Goshala<sup>TM</sup> active carbon toothpowder at 0.8mg/ml (Table 3).

With due consideration to available evidence pertaining to side effects and emergence of uncommon infections with the usage of synthetic antimicrobial agents, including tetracyclines, this study was conducted in the quest of identifying a possible alternative or an "adjunct" in the treatment of aggressive periodontitis. Several plant products such as *tulsi*, neem, lemon, and others have been tested for their antimicrobial properties in the past with considerable success. Resistance to currently used chemotherapeutics is the major factor that necessitates the search for alternative safe, efficacious and cost-effective treatment options, particularly in developing countries (Hussain *et al.*, 2009).

In this study, we attempted to obtain information on the antimicrobial efficacy of activated tooth powder, particularly against three oral pathogens namely *A. actinomycetemcomitans, Streptococcus mutans* and *P. gingivalis*; as these microbes are implicated in initiation and progression of various oral diseases, especially aggressive periodontitis and dental caries. Results in this *in vitro* experiment showed that *Goshala*<sup>TM</sup> activated carbon toothpowder at a concentration of 0.8mg/ml can effectively inhibit the growth of *A. actinomycetemcomitans*, comparable to that of Amoxicillin and Metronidazole, and better than Chlorhexidine which had an MIC of 1.6 mg/ml. We can infer from this that the active carbon toothpowder is effective at half the concentration of Chlorhexidine, which is the gold standard of chemical plaque control.

The exact mechanism of action of activated carbon/charcoal needs to be better understood which is said to be a combination of physical and chemical processes (Yamamoto *et al.*, 2002; Karnib *et al.*, 2013). Physical process which could include separating the bacteria from the microenvironment and damaging the cell wall and membranes and chemical process being generation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)-dependent and ROS-independent oxidative stress. Other possible explanations are inhibition of adsorption by altering the surface free energy of the system and changes in pore size distribution. This inhibits biofilm growth (Akasaka and Watari, 2009; Shi *et al.*, 2007).

These results could shed some light on new approaches to manage aggressive forms of periodontitis especially as an antibacterial agent in Everyone receiving treatment for dentifrices. periodontal disease might not respond to mechan-Some have postulated ical debridement alone. the reason to be the fact that some periopathogens including P.gingivalis and A. actinomycetemcomitans invade the host gingival tissues and thus evade elimination by mechanical debridement. Recurrence of disease is caused by these hidden pathogens which act as the source for recolonization of the periodontal pocket. The adjuvant therapy by use of local drug delivery has been suggested for such microorganisms (Etienne, 2003).

Mechanical plaque control is achieved by the use of cleaning aids such as manual and electric toothbrushes, interdental brushes, dental floss, oral irrigators etc. Chemical plaque control agents are available in various delivery formats like toothpastes, gels, mouth rinses, varnishes, lozenges and sprays to name a few. The use of dentifrices plays a major role in plaque control as it is the most commonly employed chemical aid (Stuart, 1997). Nearly 50% of the population in semirural and rural areas are known to use toothpowders and many of them do not use toothbrushes (Punitha and Sivaprakasam, 2011).

Various local drug delivery agents have been tested and found to be successful adjuncts to scaling and root planning. They play a supportive rather than primary role in management of periodontal disease. In this therapy, the antimicrobial agent is placed within the periodontal pocket by means of a carrier medium and sustained release in a local area over a period of time is seen. Various antimicrobial agents have been used successfully for this purpose. Some include chlorhexidine, tetracycline and metronidazole to name a few (Matesanz-Pérez *et al.*, 2013; Divya and Nandakumar, 2006).

With research nowadays trending towards natural substances, herbal extracts of neem, turmeric, aloe vera and tulsi, among others, have been adapted into toothpaste, gel as well sustained release chip form. The current study focuses on a natural material obtained guite easily and with known antibacterial action. So it follows logically that activated carbon be used as a local drug delivery agent or chemical plaque control agent. This could potentially be a veritable gold mine of a material. The challenge lies in translating this knowledge into clinical application. Before trying to use this substance in interventional therapy, several factors need to be taken into account. The first being biocompatibility. It is necessary to conduct studies that determine what kind of, if any, reaction is elicited by the cells and tissues of a human. Other properties of the agent in question, such as abrasiveness, pH, solubility, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics including biocompatibility, as well as identification of the active compound(s) have to be ascertained.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study is the first step towards developing an adjunctive antibacterial agent which is effective, natural, easily available, local, environmentally sustainable and cheap among other qualities. It is safe to say that while additional studies are required before a randomised human clinical trial can be performed, activated carbon powder presents a ray of hope in developing a targeted agent for aggressive periodontitis patients.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to acknowledge the Departments of Pharmacology and Microbiology, SRM Kattankulathur medical college and hospital, for their assistance in carrying out the study.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this study.

#### **Funding Support**

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Aas, J. A., Paster, B. J., Stokes, L. N., Olsen, I., Dewhirst, F. E. 2005. Defining the Normal Bacterial Flora of the Oral Cavity. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 43(11):5721–5732.
- Ahmad, I., Aqil, F., Owais, M. 2006. Modern Phytomedicine: Turning Medicinal Plants into Drugs. *Wiley*, page 404.
- Akasaka, T., Watari, F. 2009. Capture of bacteria by flexible carbon nanotubes. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 5(2):607–612.
- Divya, P. V., Nandakumar, K. 2006. Local drug delivery-Periocol in periodontics. *Trends Biomater Artif Organs*, 19(2):74–80.
- Drisko, C. L. 2014. Periodontal Debridement: Still the Treatment of Choice. *Journal of Evidence Based Dental Practice*, 14:33–41.e1.
- Escmid, E. 2000. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of antibacterial agents by agar dilution. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 6(9):509–515.
- Etienne, D. 2003. Locally delivered antimicrobials for the treatment of chronic periodontitis. *Oral Diseases*, 9:45–50.
- Haffajee, A. D., Dibart, S., Kent, R. L., Socransky, S. S. 1995. Factors associated with different responses to periodontal therapy. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 22(8):628–636.
- Holt, S. C., Ebersole, J. L. 2000. Porphyromonas gingivalis, Treponema denticola, and Tannerella forsythia: the "red complex", a prototype polybacterial pathogenic consortium in periodontitis. *Periodontology*, 38(1):72–122.
- Hussain, K., Majeed, M. T., Ismail, Z., Sadikun, A., Ibrahim, P. 2009. Traditional and complementary medicines: quality assessment strategies and safe usage. *Southern med review*, 2(1).
- Karnib, M., Holail, H., Olama, Z., Kabbani, A., Hines, M. 2013. The antibacterial activity of activated carbon, silver, silver impregnated activated carbon and silica sand nanoparticles against pathogenic E. coli BL21. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 2(4):20– 30.
- King, A. 2002. Recommendations for susceptibility tests on fastidious organisms and those requiring special handling. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 49(6):1050.
- Loesche, W. J. 1986. Role of Streptococcus mutans in human dental decay. *Microbiological Reviews*, 50(4):353–380.
- Matesanz-Pérez, P., García-Gargallo, M., Figuero, E.,

Bascones-Martínez, A., Sanz, M., Herrera, D. 2013. A systematic review on the effects of local antimicrobials as adjuncts to subgingival debridement, compared with subgingival debridement alone, in the treatment of chronic periodontitis. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 40(3):227–241.

- Patil, S., Anil, S., Bhandi, S. H., Chalisserry, E. P., Jafer, M., Hosmani, J. 2016. Chemical Plaque Control Strategies in the Prevention of Biofilm-associated Oral Diseases. *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, 17(4):337–343.
- Punitha, V. C., Sivaprakasam, P. 2011. Oral hygiene status, knowledge, attitude and practices of oral health among rural children of Kanchipuram district. *Indian Journal of Multidisciplinary Dentistry*, (2):1–1.
- Schwalbe, R., Steele-Moore, L., Goodwin 2007. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing protocols. *Crc Press*, page 432.
- Shi, Z., Neoh, K. G., Kang, E. T. 2007. Antibacterial and Adsorption Characteristics of Activated Carbon Functionalized with Quaternary Ammonium Moieties. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 46(2):439–445.
- Siddiqui, H. H. 1993. Safety of herbal drugs-an overview. *Drugs News and Views*, 1(2):7–10.
- Socransky, S. S., Haffajee, A. D. 1992. The Bacterial Etiology of Destructive Periodontal Disease: Current Concepts. *Journal of Periodontology*, 63(4s):322–331.
- Stuart, L. F. 1997. The history of oral hygiene products: how far have we come in 6000 years. *Periodontology*, 15:7–14.
- Waziri, M., Suleiman, J. S. 2012. Physicochemical Properties and Antimicrobial Activity of Evaporated Extract of Cow Dung Against Some Pathogens. *Journal of Scientific Research*, 5(1):135–141.
- Wheat, P. F. 2001. History and development of antimicrobial susceptibility testing methodology. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 48(suppl\_1):1–4.
- Yamamoto, O., Sawai, J., Sasamoto, T. 2002. Activated Carbon Sphere with Antibacterial Characteristics. *Materials Transactions*, 43(5):1069–1073.
- Zambon, J. J. 1996. Periodontal Diseases: Microbial Factors. *Annals of Periodontology*, 1(1):879–925.