**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Published by JK Welfare & Pharmascope Foundation

Journal Home Page: <u>www.ijrps.com</u>

# Effectiveness of Video Teaching Programme in prevention of Gestational Diabetic Mellitus among Antenatal Mothers

Angelin Lavanya<sup>\*</sup>, Krishnaveni M

Department of Mental Health Nursing, Saveetha College of Nursing, SIMATS, Thandalam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

| Article History:  | ABSTRACT Check for updates  |
|---|---|
| Received on: 11 Oct 2020<br>Revised on: 14 Nov 2020<br>Accepted on: 17 Nov 2020<br><i>Keywords:</i><br>Gestational Diabetic | Gestational Diabetic Mellitus is the type of diabetes in pregnancy. It normally shows up in the second 1/2 of pregnancy and numerous impacts fetal development rate and causes moderate foundational improvement. The goal of prevention in pregnancy to change dietary patterns, maintain balanced glucose level and to replace them with a healthy lifestyle for mother. The main purpose of this study to assess the effectiveness of video teaching programme in  |
| Mellitus,   | prevention of gestational diabetic Mellitus among antenatal mothers. Quan-  |
| Antenatal Mothers,  | titative experimental approach and pre-experimental one group pre-test and  |
| Video Teaching  | post-test design used in this study. The sample size was 60 antenatal moth-   |
| Programme   | ers. A pre-test was conducted to assess the knowledge on antenatal mothers followed by administration of video teaching programme. After one hour conducted post-test using the same questionnaire. The study finding revealed that after the administration of video teaching programme among antenatal mothers post-test mean score of knowledge was 27.10 with Standard Deviation 2.60 was higher than the pre-test mean score of knowledge was 9.02 with Standard Deviation 2.69. Hence there was a statistically significant difference between pre-test and post-test knowledge scores and the hypothesis was accepted. The calculated paired 't' test value of t=33.64 was found to be statistically highly significant at (P<0.001) association between post-test knowledge scores and socio-demographic variables. This clearly infers there is a significant improvement in the post-test level of knowledge regarding gestational diabetic Mellitus among antenatal mothers. |

# \*Corresponding Author

Name: Angelin Lavanya Phone: 7708446747 Email: lavanya.angelin@gmail.com

ISSN: 0975-7538

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11iSPL4.3751

Production and Hosted by

IJRPS | www.ijrps.com

© 2020 | All rights reserved.

# INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus is one of the most frequent metabolic diseases during pregnancy and approximately affects 7% of all pregnancies. GDM is hyperglycaemia or glucose intolerance and it diagnosed second or third trimester during pregnancy. A fasting glucose level >126mg/dl or an irregular plasma glucose >200 mg/dl meets limit for analysis of gestational diabetic Mellitus (Parimalam, 2018). The fundamental reason for gestational diabetes, whose predominance is quickly expanding around the world, is hereditary variables with gained factors like absence of activity, weight brought about by high-fat eating routine, stress, maturing hindering insulin activity, prompting the beginning of diabetes (Olagbuji et al., 2015). The number of ladies with diminished sugar resistance who create gestational diabetes (Lynch et al., 2015). The predominance of diabetes goes from 4.6% to 14% in metropolitan territories and 1.7% to 13.5% in provincial regions. In India, it is assessed that 62 million individuals are experiencing type 2 DM, which is relied upon to increment 79.4 million by 2025 and homes second biggest number internationally. India assessed that around 4 million are influenced by GDM and across India, the predominance of gestational diabetes goes from 3.8% to 41% (Shaw and Chisholm, 2003). Symptomatic measures depended on a 3-h 100g oral glucose resistance test(OGTT) and were picked to distinguish ladies at high danger for the advancement of diabetes after pregnancy. It suggested the accompanying OGTT glycemic limit: fasting esteem >5.1 mmol/L; 1-h esteem >10.0 mmol/L and 2-h esteem >8.5mmol/L (Agarwal et al., 2009).

In particular, the administration of gestational diabetes involves calorie, insulin treatment and supplement limitations and standardize blood glucose levels (Moreno-Castilla et al., 2016). Teach about keeping up ordinary BMI to help and development are needed to help the ladies in making way of life changes fundamental to fruitful sustenance treatment. Pregnant ladies with gestational diabetes practice and pharmacotherapy with insulin is recommended (Lapolla et al., 2009). Typically, if standard wholesome treatment neglects to constantly hold fasting plasma glucose under 105 or blood glucose two hours after a feast under 140, insulin treatment is suggested. America Diabetes Association suggests insulin treatment when wholesome treatment neglects to continue fasting glucose at the level of 105 milligrams for each decilitre or less (Simmons, 2015). The confusion threatening the mother incorporate the danger of toxaemia and eclampsia, birth trench wounds caused colossal baby, low blood glucose polyhydramnios and higher rate of bacterial disease. Fetal difficulties incorporate low blood calcium and undeveloped jaundice, if untreated, just as the expanded danger of significant distortions in newborn children and the expanded commonness of maternal mortality (Muche et al., 2020). The purpose of this study [1] To evaluate the effectiveness of a video-assisted teaching program regarding the self-administration of insulin among antenatal mothers with gestational diabetic Mellitus. [2] To associate the pre-test and posttest regarding self-administration of insulin among antenatal mothers with gestational diabetic Mellitus. [3] To determine the association between the video-assisted regarding self-administration of insulin among selected variables.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A quantitative experimental approach with preexperimental one group pre-test and post-test design was used to conduct the study. The study was conducted in Government Hospital, Thiruvallur. The data were collected in all age of gravid mother using non-probability consecutive sampling technique with 60 antenatal mothers receiving antenatal care who met the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria for the sampling are who are all  $2^{nd}$ trimester of pregnancy and are available at the time of data collection. The survey has been thorough and was conducted on February 10, 2020. The data were collected obtaining written informed consent permission from the department of obstetric and gynaecological in Government Hospital. Data were assembled using a structured interview schedule for pre-test and after one hour conducted post-test to same antenatal mothers-informed consent before and after video-assisted teaching programme of gestational diabetic mellitus. The questionnaire contains 20 questions. The sample characteristics were described using frequency and percentage.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The above graph shows that in the pre-test, 59(98.33%) had inadequate knowledge and 1(1.67%) had moderately adequate knowledge. Whereas in the post-test, 56(93.33%) had moderately adequate knowledge, and 4(6.67%) had moderately adequate knowledge regarding administration of insulin among antenatal mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Percentage distribution of level of knowledge regarding self-administration of insulin among antenatal mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus

Table 1 depicts that the pre-test means a score of knowledge was 9.02 with standard deviation

| 0                    | 0             |              |                              |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Knowledge            | Mean          | SD           | Paired 't' test value        |
| Pretest              | 9.02          | 2.69         | T=33.646                     |
| Post-test            | 27.10         | 2.60         | P=0.0001<br>S***             |
| Pretest<br>Post-test | 9.02<br>27.10 | 2.69<br>2.60 | T=33.646<br>P=0.0001<br>S*** |

Table 1: Comparison of pre-test and post-test level of knowledge regarding self-administration of insulin among antenatal mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus. N = 60

\*\*\*p<0.001,S – Significant

2.69 and the post-test mean score of knowledge was 27.10 with a standard deviation of 2.60. The calculated paired 't' test value of t = 33.646 was found to be statistically highly significant at p<0.001 level. This clearly infers that there was significant improvement was observed in the post-test level of knowledge regarding self-administration of insulin among antenatal mothers with gestational diabetic mellitus (Sweeting et al., 2016). Gestational diabetes mellitus is viewed as an ordinary state of glucose prejudice in which a ladies to be a normal code during the third trimester of pregnancy. A background marked by GDM can be considered of glucose with diabetes displays significant levels of blood glucose to be one of the sturdiest danger factors concerning the advancement of type 2 diabetes among ladies who have a background marked by GDM, the danger of creating old-style type 2 diabetes typically goes from 20 to half.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Gestational Diabetic Mellitus is the type of diabetes in pregnancy. It normally shows up in the second 1/2 of pregnancy and numerous impacts fetal development rate and causes moderate foundational improvement. The goal of prevention in pregnancy to change dietary patterns, maintain balanced glucose level and to replace them with a healthy lifestyle for mother. The study revealed that the video teaching programme was highly effective in improving knowledge of antenatal mothers on gestational diabetic mellitus.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this study.

# **Funding Support**

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

# REFERENCES

Agarwal, M. M., Dhatt, G. S., Othman, Y., Gupta, R. 2009. Gestational diabetes: fasting capillary glucose as a screening test in a multi-ethnic, high-risk

population. *Diabetic Medicine: A Journal of the British Diabetic Association*, 26(8):760–765.

- Lapolla, A., Fedele, D., Dalfra 2009. Management of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy*, 2:73–82.
- Lynch, C. P., Baker, N., Korte, J. E., Mauldin, J. G., Mayorga, M. E., Hunt, K. J. 2015. Increasing Prevalence of Diabetes During Pregnancy in South Carolina. *Journal of Women's Health*, 24(4):316–323.
- Moreno-Castilla, C., Mauricio, D., Hernandez, M. 2016. Role of Medical Nutrition Therapy in the Management of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Current Diabetes Reports*, 16(4):22.
- Muche, A. A., Olayemi, O. O., Gete, Y. K. 2020. Effects of gestational diabetes mellitus on risk of adverse maternal outcomes: a prospective cohort study in Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 20(1):73.
- Olagbuji, B. N., Atiba, A. S., Olofinbiyi, B. A., Akintayo, A. A., Awoleke, J. O. 2015. Prevalence of and risk factors for gestational diabetes using WHO criteria upon implementation of universal in Saharana (Vol.1). *European journal of obstetrics and gynaecology and reproductive biology*, 189:27–32.
- Parimalam, D. 2018. Effectiveness of video teaching programme on gestational diabetic mellitus among antenatal outpatient department at Government hospital, Egmore Chennai. *Indian journal of endocrinal metabolism*, 15:284–293.
- Shaw, J. E., Chisholm, D. J. 2003. 1: Epidemiology and prevention of type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 179(7):379–383.
- Simmons, D. 2015. Safety Considerations with Pharmacological Treatment of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Drug Safety*, 38(1):65–78.
- Sweeting, A. N., Ross, G. P., Hyett, J., Molyneaux, L., Constantino, M., Harding, A. J., Wong, J. 2016. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Early Pregnancy: Evidence for Poor Pregnancy Outcomes Despite Treatment. *Diabetes Care*, 39(1):75–81.